Journal<br>of Science Research **\_**

# **Ground Radiometric Survey and Geothermal Energy Investigation In Ikogosi Warm Spring, Ekiti, Southwestern Nigeria**

**Ojoawo, A. I. a and Sedara, S. O. b\***

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State

bDepartment of Physics and Electronics, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State

\*Corresponding author: *samuel.sedara@aaua.edu.ng*

# **Abstract**

Gamma-Ray Spectrometer was used to measure radiations from natural radionuclides in Ikogosi warm spring in order to determine the pattern of natural radioactivity, elemental concentration and radiogenic heat production emanating from surface rock units. The pattern of Radiogenic heat production rates of surface rock units at Ikogosi warm spring was determined through quantitative determination of concentrations of Uranium, Thorium and Potassium isotopes in the rock units. The radiogenic heat production rates ranged from Below Detectable Limit (BDL) to 0.0098 pW/kg for  ${}^{40}$ K, BDL to 622.08 pW/kg for <sup>232</sup>Th and BDL to 723.52 pW/kg for <sup>238</sup>U. The Total heat generated or production from the radionuclide (THP) varied from 65.59 pW/kg to 1154.65 pW/kg and a mean value of 454.59 pW/kg for the study area. The measurement of radioactive contents (2.46 ppm U, 8.61 ppm Th and 1.18 % K) of surface rocks obtained for the area appears to be comparatively high enough to be a geothermal resource potential in comparison with other know geothermal sites in the world. The average total heat production in the study area is a manifestation of the geological rock types. Uranium has the highest heat production; followed by 232Th and 40K has the lowest. The ratio of 238U contribution to radiogenic heat production with respect to 232Th and 40K is 1.0:0.57:0.000018.

\_

**Keywords**: Gamma-ray spectrometer, radiogenic heat, concentration, geothermal, Ikogosi

# **Introduction**

Radiometric survey is one of the geophysical techniques in use in exploration for geothermal energy, which is generated mainly from the decay of long-lived radioactive isotopes.

The use of radiation (alpha-α, beta-β and gammaɣ) emanating from the decay of radioactive element contained in the rock unit around the study area is of particular interest. The most useful of these radiations in radiometric survey are gamma radiations. They are usually among the decay products of radioactive elements such as Uranium, Thorium and Potassium (K-40). Of all known radioactive elements, only those of Uranium, Thorium and Isotopes of Potassium (K-40) are of importance. The rest are either so rare or so weakly radioactive or both as to be of no significance in applied geology. It is therefore important to investigate the pattern of contribution of radionuclide to the geothermal system of the area as this will help to understand its potential for exploration of geothermal energy [11, 12].

The radiogenic heat sources present in continental earth crust contributes significantly to the total surface heat flow and temperature distribution in the crust [12]. One of the ways to achieve greater accuracy in subsurface interpretation is by the use of radiation (alpha-α, beta-β and gamma-ɣ) emanating from the decay of radioactive element contained in the rock unit. The most useful of these radiations in radiometric survey are gamma radiations [11].

Radiogenic heat production decreases with depth. The concentrations of Uranium and Thorium in rocks are generally in trace amounts measured in parts per million, while Potassium is much more abundant in the range of few percent of which a



Volume 17, 2018, pp. 53 - 61 © *Journal of Science Research, 2018*



small but well-known fraction is the radioactive K-40. The global mean value of heat production in the continental crust is  $65\pm1.6$  mW/m2 of which about 30mW/m2 is attributed to radiogenic heat production within the crust; the rest is due to heat supplied to the lithosphere by convective processes in the deeper mantle [8, 10]. The origin of radioactive elements in rocks is linked directly with the crystallization of magma. The Ikogosi warm spring is absolutely a geothermal system because it is made up of four main elements: a heat source, a reservoir, a fluid, which is the carrier that transfers the heat, and a recharge area. The heat source is generally a shallow magmatic body, usually cooling and often still partially molten [9]. For this geothermal energy to be explored, a series of geophysical techniques is needed to be applied. The geophysical surveys are directed at obtaining indirectly, from the surface or from shallow depth, the physical parameters of the geothermal systems [4, 6]. Considering the limitations of the various methods, it is probably necessary to use an integrated geophysical approach. As a part of the ongoing research work, one of the geophysical methods (Radiometric survey) was used in order to determine the pattern of radiation emanating from radioactive elements contained in rock formations so as to validate the existence of geothermal activities of Ikogosi warm spring and to generate heat production data to support existing data in Nigeria.

## **Material and Methods**

#### *Study Area Description*

The study area is situated in the southwestern part of Nigeria and located between geographic latitudes 7°35′30′′ N and 7°35′45′′ N and geographic longitude 4°58′45′′E and 4°58′54′′ E (Figure 1). It is situated between lofty steep-sided and heavily wooded, northsouth trending hills about 27.4 km east of Ilesha, and about 10.4 km southeast of Effon Alaye [3]. The spring is a low enthalpy system, with temperature being around  $38.6^{\circ}$ C as at last average measurement taken for six months (morning and night). The geothermal system discharges a virtually constant volume of water all year round. It is a popular national tourist attraction. The Ikogosi area is underlain by Basement Complex rocks of southwestern Nigeria.

#### *Radiometric Measurements*

The study area was divided into nine profiles of warm, cold and mixed sections (P1-9). Across these 9 profiles, eighty two (82) measurements were taken with the use of a Gamma-ray spectrometer (model DISA-300) placed 5cm above the rock surfaces and a measurement interval of 5 m was adopted for each profile points with their respective coordinates taken by a GPS. Total profile distances of about 410 m were occupied across the study area. The measurements were taken in parts per million (ppm) and count per second (cps). The concentrations in ppm was then converted to Bq/kg as using the conversion template in Table 1. The obtained values were used to calculate the Radiogenic Heat Production rate Qr (pW/kg) presented in Table 2 which is related to the decay of radioactive isotopes of  $232$ <sub>TH</sub>,  $238_U$  and  $40_K$  and can be estimated based on the concentration (C) of the respective elements [5] through equation below:

$$
Qr = 95.2CU + 25.6CTh + 0.00348CK
$$
 (1)

where CU, CTh and CK are the concentrations in ppm of U-238, Th-232 and K-40 in each surface radiations respectively.



**Figure 1.** Location and geological map of the study area [1]





## **Results and Discussion**

The results of the profiles along the warm, cold and mixed sections with their corresponding radioactivity graphs are presented in Figures 2-17 and Tables 2 and 3 respectively.

The Radioactive Heat Production (RHP) rate presented confirmed U-238 as having the highest heat production rate ranging from BDL to 723.52 pW/kg with a mean value of 234.12 pW/kg followed by Th-232 values ranging from 0 (BDL) to 622.08 pW/kg, with a mean of 132. 67 pW/kg and least heat production rate by K-40 with values ranging from BDL to 0.0098 pW/kg. The total heat production rates for the study area ranged from 65.59 to 1154.65 pW/kg and have a mean total heat production rate of 454.60 pW/kg. The surface distribution of the total heat production rate across the study area in form of contour and 3D maps presented in Figures 11-12. Figures 15- 17 indicates that areas with close contour lines have high concentrations of radiations and vice-versa. The radiation readings were generally higher for the mixed section (Figures 8-10) than those of warm and cold sections (Figures 2-7). This high rate of concentration recorded in the mixed section (P7-9) may be as a result of nature of the water around the mixed section since the water is a mixture originating from both the warm and cold spring sources associated with igneous rocks such as granite. It was observed that the concentrations of radionuclide in ppm and Bq/kg of Th-232 (2877.11 Bq/kg) had the highest values followed by U-238  $(2464.73 \text{ Bq/kg})$  and K-40 2.92 (Bq/kg) as least. This is contrary to the radiogenic heat production (RHP) index. However, Potassium has the highest value of activity concentration in cps followed by (those which dominate in any rock investigation) Uranium and Thorium as trace elements. It was observed that the concentration value of Uranium was moderate in all the profiles. Besides the presence of unconformity surface, fault zone were also observed during the course of taking measurements and their existence in the area further are reflected in the radiometric plots. The major peaks and trough observed in the radioactivity graphs have consistently reflected radioactive element content in different rock units from the area (Figures 2-10).

Generally, radioactive elements (U-238, Th-232 and K-40) are enriched in the residual phases of magmatic differentiation which is associated with the formation of alkaline rocks. There is therefore the likelihood of a Uranium/Thorium bearing unit at a depth in the rock unit of the Ikogosi Warm Spring area. The majority of the continental heat flow originates from the decay of radioactive isotopes in the crust, hence finding areas with high isotope concentration can be equal to finding areas with high heat flow which can be a good prospect for geothermal exploitation [1, 5, 7].



**Figure 2**. Radionuclide concentration for Profile 1 (Warm section)



**Figure 3.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 2 (Warm section)



**Figure 4.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 3 (Warm section)



**Figure 5.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 4 (Cold section)



**Figure 6.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 5 (Cold section)



**Figure 7.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 6 (Cold section)



**Figure 8.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 7(Mixed section)



**Figure 9.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 8 (Mixed section)



**Figure 10.** Radionuclide concentration for Profile 9 (Mixed section)



**Figure 11.** Contour map of total RHP rate of the study area



**Figure 12.** 3D map of total RHP of study area

**Table 2.** Radiogenic Heat Production Rate of the rocks around study area

S/N	40-K	238-U	$232$ -Th	Total(pW/kg)	Coordinates	
	(pW/kg)	(pW/kg)	(pW/kg)		Long.	Lat.
1	0.005951	418.88	151.04	569.926	4.5878	7.357
2	0.001984	352.24	87.04	439.282	4.5878	7.3569
3	0.006194	361.76	220.16	581.9262	4.5878	7.3569
4	$\Omega$	85.68	$\theta$	85.68	4.5878	7.3568
5	6.96E-05	104.72	30.72	135.4401	4.5883	7.3568
6	0.004141	276.08	181.76	457.8441	4.5882	7.3568
7	0.002714	95.2	174.08	269.2827	4.5881	7.3567
8	0.004454	314.16	207.36	521.5245	4.588	7.3567
9	0.004942	514.08	84.48	598.5649	4.588	7.3567
10	0.012354	247.52	58.88	306.4124	4.5878	7.3567
11	0.001079	228.48	156.16	384.6411	4.5877	7.3567
12	0.001288	190.4	104.96	295.3613	4.5877	7.3567
13	0.001566	142.8	81.92	224.7216	4.5876	7.3567
14	0.000348	199.92	66.56	266.4803	4.5876	7.3566
15 17	0.001775 0.001183	28.56 238	84.48 181.76	113.0418 419.7612	4.5876 4.5875	7.3566 7.3566
18	0.001114	5.712	58.88	64.59311	4.5875	7.3566
19 20	0.00181 0.001079	218.96 66.64	117.76 161.28	336.7218 227.9211	4.5874 4.5874	7.3566 7.3566
21	0.001044	76.16	71.68	147.841	4.5874	7.3566
22	3.48E-05	161.84	66.56	228.4	4.5874	7.3566



NB: 0= Below Detection level

Radionuclids	<b>Warm Section</b>		Cold section		Mixed/Surrounding section	
		Average concentration				
	Ppm	Cps	Ppm	C <sub>DS</sub>	Ppm	Cps
Potassium $(K)$	0.71	1.1	0.53	0.79	1.74	2.31
Uranium (U)	2.1	0.3	1.41	0.29	3.12	0.6
Thorium (Th)	4.25	0 17	3.51	0.14	13.51	0.49

**Table 3.** Gamma radiation readings of radionuclides in Ikogosi warm spring



Figure 13. Plot of gamma radiation (cps) against distance (m) for whole study area



Figure 14. Plot of gamma radiation (ppm) against distance (m) for whole study area



**Figure 15.** Radiometric map for Thorium Distribution (P1-3)





**Figure 16.** Radiometric map for Uranium Distribution (P1-3)

In conclusion, the concentration of 238U, 232Th and 40K radio-nuclides in Ikogosi warm spring varies, so does the rate of Radiogenic Heat Production (RHP). This is probably due to the uneven distribution of the rock. The pattern of radiogenic heat production of Ikogosi has U-238 as the highest followed by Th and K. The variations in the radiogenic heat production are attributed to the different rock types characterizing the study area. The warm section of the study area has the highest concentration of Uranium. Hence the Lithologic (e.g granite etc) character of each rock surface unit is simply reflected by the amount of gamma radiation recorded. From this study, it can be found that radiometric characterization and mapping may provide an invaluable aid in the further study of mapping of terrains with poor exposures in the study area. It is therefore recommended that core samples should be used for mapping the radiogenic heat flow in the study area.

**Figure 17.** Radiometric map for Potassium Distribution (P1-3)

# **References**

- [1] Adegbuyi, O; Ajayi, O.S; Odeyemi, I.B. 1996. Prospect of a Hot Dry Rock (HDR) geothermal energy resource around the Ikogosi-Ekiti Warm Spring in Ondo State. Nigeria N J Renewable Energy 4(1):58–64
- [2] Adegoke, J.A; Farai, I.P. and Oyekan, K.A. 2014. Surface Radiogenic Heat Distribution in Obio-Akpor Area of Rivers State. J of Sci. Research, 13:5-12
- [3] Caby, R. and Boesse, J. M. 2001. Pan-African Nappe system in south west Nigeria; the Ife-Ilesha schist belt. J. African Earth Sciences 33 (2), 211-225.
- [4] Heinrich, E. W. (1985). Mineralogy and Geology of Radioactive raw materials. Toronto, London: Mcgraw Hill book coy. 654p.
- [5] Holmberg, H; Naess, E; and Evensen, J. E. (2012) Thermal Modeling in the Oslo rift. In: Norway. Proceedings, 37th workshop on geothermal reservoir engineering, Stanford University
- [6] Jones, l. (2002). Shrinking and Swelling soil in the UK; assessing clays for the planning process in Earthwise magazine. A publication of British Geological Survey, 18, 22-23.
- [7] Joshua, E.O. and Alabi, O.O. 2012. Pattern of Radiogenic Heat Production in Rock Samples of Southwestern Nigeria. J. of Earth Sci. and Geotechnical Eng. 2(2):25-38
- [8] McLennan, S. M. and Taylor, S. R. 1996. Heat flow and the chemical composition of continental crust. J. Geol 104:369-377
- [9] Philip, A. O. 2005. An Introduction to Geophysical Exploration Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 70, (2005), 63-89.
- [10] Pollack, H.N; Hurter, S. J. and Johnson, J. R. 1993. Heat flow from Earth's interior: Analysis of the global data set. Rev. Geophysics 31(3):267-280
- [11] Rajver, D. 2000. Geophysical exploration of the low enthalpy Krsko Geothermal Fields, Slovenian. Proceeding W.G.C., (2000), 1605- 1607.
- [12] Singh, R.N; and Manglik, A. 2000. Identification of radiogenic heat source distribution in the crust: A variational approach. Sadhana 25(2):111-118

*Journal of Science Research* ISSN 1119 7333

**Citation**: Ojoawo, A. I. and Sedara, S. O. Ground Radiometric Survey and Geothermal Energy Investigation In Ikogosi Warm Spring, Ekiti, Southwestern Nigeria. Volume 17, 2018, pp53-61.

*\_*