PROFILE OF HOUSEHOLD EDUCATION EXPENDITURE ON SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

Akinmoladun, Olanrewaju Olakunle

Department of Educational Management Faculty of Education, University of Ibadan Email: akinmoladun4live@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study investigated household education expenditure on Senior Secondary School (SSS) students in Osun State, Nigeria. The descriptive research design was adopted. Four local government areas (LGAs), representing 40%, were randomly selected from each of the three senatorial districts in the state. There were 142 public senior secondary schools in the 12 sampled LGAs. Forty per cent of the schools were randomly selected per LGA, totaling 56. Forty percent of SSS III students were randomly selected per school, totaling 3,304. The instrument titled: "Household Education Expenditure Scale" (r=0.97), used for the study was filled by parents of sampled students. Two research questions were answered. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics. From the results, 91.6%, 85.6%, 91.2% and 70.82% of the households spent part of their income on students' textbooks, transportation, food and extra lessons, respectively despite the free education programme of the state government. The study concluded that free education in Osun State is not totally free for households. Household education expenditure is a determinant of students' participation in secondary education in the state. Free education should focus more on alleviating households' burdens by providing students with textbooks, transport, feeding at school and quality of instruction. Households in the state should be empowered economically to be able to take good care of their children.

Keywords: Household education expenditure, secondary school students in Osun State, learning materials, expenditure on food, extra lessons, expenditure on transportation.

Introduction

Education is not a cost-free activity to households, even when students attend public schools where tuition is not charged. Apart from the opportunity cost of education, households complement government efforts in the areas of funding and getting students ready for school. Meanwhile, Oyegoke (2012) had noted that the era had gone when parents want everything related to education to be provided free by the government. Munda and Odebero (2014) also submitted that providing free education was beyond the scope of Kenya's ordinary education budget.

The funding gap in government spending on education is left for the individual student or family to fill. Nwachukwu (2014) attributed education funding problem in Nigeria to policy and strategy instability and inconsistency, inefficient management, wastages and leakages, and over dependence on oil revenue. Purnachandra (2014) found the high level of household expenditure on education in India to be a reflection of inefficiency of government allocation to education. Elif, Burak and Seyit (2016) found, for all income groups in Turkey, that Turkish households allocate greater share of their budgets to education expenditures, while Yu and Xuehan (2017), found household education expenditure in China to account for 29.5% of total education spending in 2013.

On the funding of education, Adedeji, Fabunmi and Olaniyan (2010) lamented that things were not normal in most African countries, noting that public expenditure on education, as a percentage of total government expenditure, shows gross under-funding when compared with inflation rate and UNESCO recommendations. Udiada, Ebuara and Ozurumba (2011) reasoned that the basic education provided by government had not improved the illiteracy level of Nigerians who are deprived of free access to education due to chronic poverty.

Students whose parents could not meet their basic education needs may not do well in their studies or drop out of school. This agreed with the position of Ewumi (2012), that parents have a profound influence on whether a home provides intellectual stimulation, physical and psychological safety, an appropriate degree of structure and supportive relationships, which all have influence on academic performance. Unfortunately, some households still believe that it is the duty of government to provide everything needed for their children in public schools. Because of the effect of such belief on the financing of education, Adepoju and Oluchukwu (2011) advised that parents should be well-educated to take up the challenges and responsibility of financing the education of their children. Some parents, for instance, believed that free education programme implies providing their children with all school-related materials such as pen, pencil, ruler, textbook, uniform, sandal, belt, food, and so on, free of charge by the government.

The structure of education cost in Nigeria, according to Alabi (2010), are: school fees (39.9%), association fees (3.8%), uniforms (3.8%), books (21.2%), transportation (8.3%), room and boarding (8.8%), extra activities (6.4%) and others (2.8%). The annual unit cost of senior secondary school to family by sector and type, at the national level, for private school was \\$85,000:00 (urban) and \\$84,000:00 (rural) and for public school, \\$20,000:00 (urban) and \\$15,000:00 (rural) (Nwangwu, Fagbulu, Aderogba, Ayodele, Olapeju, Yusufu and Kalu (2005), citing Balami (2004).

The Federal Government of Nigeria (FGN) (2004), in a survey, revealed that between 2003 and 2004, average households spending on students' feeding for males was \$5,767:60 and \$7,179:50 for females while it was \$6,210:00 for students in urban areas and \$6,723:20 for those in the rural areas. Average expenditure on extra lessons was \$2,417:40, with little or no variation based on gender (males, \$2,404.00 and females, \$2,429:00) and residence (urban, \$2,704:80 and rural, \$1,963:90). The average family expenditure on students' transportation over the same period was \$12,606:00, with males having an average of \$7,460:10 and females \$17,087:90. The high cost on female students may be because they do not trek often like their male counterparts and perhaps, to ensure their safety. The cost in urban areas was \$9,198:00 while it was \$17,940:00 in the rural areas.

Households from South-West states (Oyo, Ogun, Osun, Ondo, Ekiti and Lagos), reputable for free education programme, incur expenses on the education of their children in public secondary schools. Per capita private expenditure on secondary schooling in South-West states are: H27,503:28, Ekiti; H52,436:16, Lagos; H13,824:16, Ogun; ★15,695:12, Ondo; ★21,184:80, Osun; and, ★21,517:44, Oyo while the net enrolments in secondary schools in the states are 71.6%, 69.8%, 53.8%, 64.7%, 62.5% and 64.7%, respectively (Alabi, 2010). The per capita private expenditure in Osun State ($\pm21,184:80$) was higher when compared with that of Ondo ($\pm15,695:12$) and Ogun ($\pm13,824:16$) states.

Generally, household education expenditure includes expenses on school fees, uniform, transportation, extra lesson, feeding, textbooks, Parent-Teacher Association (PTA), writing materials, ceremonies, notebooks and others. Households in Osun State do not pay school fees nor purchase school uniforms because the state government's free education programme had taken care of them. The state school meal programme is limited to students at the junior primary school. Household education expenditure for this study will be limited to expenditures on educational materials, transportation, food items and extra lessons.

Akinmoladun (2020) noted that households in Osun State spend money on textbooks: storybooks, graph books, mathematical and statistical tables and formulae, past questions, exercise book; transportation of students to and from school, to attend extra lessons and excursions; food items and pay extra lesson fees. Ayeni (2003) had earlier submitted that some parents face difficulties in meeting the education needs of their children in Osun State. According to Akinmoladun (2015), households in the urban areas may spend less on transportation compared to their counterparts in the rural areas because of good roads and high concentration of schools in the cities. The researcher also opined that households in the rural areas may spend less on some food items because most rural people engage in agriculture.

In most public secondary schools, parents still pay for operational costs, Parent-Teacher Association (PTA), textbooks, uniforms and other costs. This corroborated Munda and Odebero (2014) observation, when they noted that tuition charges had been introduced in most county schools. Such levies included local joint examinations fees, special subject fees, uniform fees, university application fees, activity fees, registration fees, admission acceptance fees, medical fees, laboratory fees, report card fees, school magazines and academic awards levies. On the sources of support for the monetary costs of secondary school in Nigeria, FGN (2004) showed among 208 secondary school students sampled between 2002 and 2003 that, 98.2%, 8.8%, 9.5%, 15.3%, 11.4%, 3.4% and 99.4% got support from one or both parents, child himself/herself, extended family, bursary, borrowing, gift from non-relatives and one or more sources of support, respectively.

Students, whose family income is low or very low, may not be provided with necessary funding to keep them in school and, or to perform well in their studies. This agreed with lleuma (2010), who lamented that a great number of children were on the streets engaging in street hawking, assisting beggars, load carrying and housemaid activities because of lack of finance. Godpower (2015) submitted that additional charges for uniforms, textbooks and transport fares to schools create financial barriers for many parents in Nigeria where primary education is technically free. These parents, according to the researcher, consistently claim that these indirect costs are hindrances for sending their children to school. Meanwhile, Agunwamba, Bloom, Friendman, Ozolins, Rosenberg, Steven and Weston (2009) had earlier noted that many households had difficulties paying required fees charged in public schools.

Household income seems to determine the extent to which households are able to meet private expenditures to keep their children in school and to perform well in their studies. This aligned with the position of Oyegoke (2012) that parents still exert great influence in preparing and making students stay in school. Lewin (2006) and Reham and Hala (2016) also considered household income a key determinant for household spending on education and participation in secondary school in the Sub-Saharan Africa

According to Ojowu, Bulus and Omonona (2007), education represented about one-tenth of total expenditure for households with a number of students, and for 20% of the poorest families with students. The burden is higher as they spend about 16% of their annual budget on education.

In India, according to Tilak (2002), expenditures on books and uniforms accounted for above 70 per cent of household education expenditure in government schools. In the case of elementary education, books, stationery and uniforms accounted for 80 per cent while fees constituted 12 per cent of the total household education expenditure in government schools.

According to FGN (2004), between 2002 and 2003, the most frequently incurred expenditures were on school supplies (including textbooks, exercise books, pens and pencils), uniforms and clothing needed for school (including shoes), and on PTA fees. Ninety-nine per cent of households spent money on school supplies, 91% bought school clothing or uniforms, 82% paid PTA fees, 71% spent money on food and 69% spent money on tuition. Two-thirds paid examination fees and over half (53%) paid for extra lessons, while only 8% of primary school pupils' households spent money on transportation, 40% of the secondary school students' households incurred the expense. One-third spent money on furniture, tools and food utensils, 8% spent money on boarding fees and 5% on handworks.

There are variations in household expenditure on secondary education in the country. FGN (2004) revealed N29,708 mean per student expenditure in the North-West (highest in Nigeria) and N11,231 in the North-East (lowest). On the average, between 2002 and 2003, more money was spent on female students at the secondary school (\\24,454) than male students (\\17,068).

According to Adedeji (2019) associated costs of education by public school students in Nigeria are: school lunch (\$2,300), transportation to school (\$5,000), exercise books (\$600), extra lessons (\$3,200), pen/pencil (\$300), uniform (\$2,000), school bags (\$800), boarding facilities (\$8,500), PTA levies (\$400), school supplies (\$200), examination fees (\$400), textbooks (\$1,500), school development level (\$200) and school celebrations (\$400).

Nigeria Education Data Survey (NEDS) (2015) puts the average household expenditure in primary schools at: \$3,114 (books and supplies), \$1,941 (uniforms and clothing), \$415 (PTA fees), \$360 (exam fees) and \$2,600 (food) while the expenditure at the Junior Secondary School (JSS) were put at \$5,718, \$2,869, \$806, \$737 and \$3,600, respectively. The survey puts tuition fees at government schools at \$6,425 (primary) and \$16,811 (JSS) and for private schools, \$34,786(primary) and \$51,713 (JSS).

Statement of the Problem

Secondary education in Osun State is not totally free to households despite the free education programme of the state government. Many households in the state are finding it difficult to provide the necessary materials to keep their children in school. Different measures introduced by the state government to solve the problem appear not yielding results as some school-age children are still out of school in the state. The implication of this is that many school-age children may be on the streets engaging in all forms of activities which may be inimical to the peace and development of the state. It is against this background that this study investigated household education expenditure profile, with a view to make free education programme more impactful on household expenditure on education in the state.

Research Questions

Two research questions were raised and answered in this study.

- 1 What is the extent of the profile of household education expenditure on public secondary school students in terms of (a) learning materials (b) transportation (c) food items and (d) extra lessons in Osun State?
- 2 What is the average household education expenditure on senior secondary school students in Osun State based on location (rural and urban)?

Methodology

Research Design

The descriptive survey research design carried out *ex-post facto* was adopted for the study. This was appropriate because the phenomena studied were already in existence and the researcher had no direct control over them.

Population of the Study

The population for this study comprised all the 43,440 SS3 students in the 573 public secondary schools in Osun State as at the time of this study. The parents of the participating students were also included in the study. The researcher had contact with the parents through the students (their children).

Sample and Sampling Techniques

The multistage sampling procedure was adopted for the study. Osun State was stratified in line with the existing three senatorial districts: Osun West, Osun Central and Osun East with each of them having 10 local government areas (LGAs). From each of the senatorial districts, 40% of the local governments were randomly selected. Four LGAs were selected from each senatorial district: Osun West (Ayedaade, Ede North, Iwo and Ede South); Osun Central (Odo Otin, Osogbo, Ifedayo and Isokan) and Osun East (Ife Central, Ilesa East, Ife East and Oriade). Twelve LGAs were selected altogether.

Forty percent of the senior secondary schools were randomly selected in each of the LGAs. Fifty-six secondary schools were selected from the LGAs. Forty per cent of the SS3 students in the schools were randomly selected. A total of 3,304 students were selected for the study. The parents of the participating students were purposefully used for the study.

Research Instrument

One research instrument was used for the study with the reliability and validity tests carried out on it. The instrument was: Household Education Expenditure Scale (HEES), with reliability coefficient of 0.97.

Procedure for Data Collection

HEES got to the parents through their children. Out of 3,304 questionnaires distributed, a total of 2,862, representing 86.62% were returned. Out of the 2,862 returned questionnaires, 2,627, representing 79.51%, were considered valid after data collection which lasted four weeks.

Data Analysis

Data were analysed using descriptive statistics such as frequency count, mean, standard deviation and percentage

Findings and Discussion Answers to the Research Questions **Research Question 1:** What is the extent of the profile of household education expenditure on public secondary school students in terms of (a) learning materials (b) transportation (c) food items and (d) extra lessons in Osun State?

S/No.	Items	Not at	N5.00-	N5,010-	N10,020-	₩15,030-	₩20,030+	Mean	Std.		
		all	₩5,005	₩10,010	₩15,020	₩20,030			Dev		
1	SS3	221	1001	646	251	343	165	2.00	1.43		
	text-	(8.4)	(38.1)	(24.6)	(9.6)	(13.1)	(6.3)	₩5.00-			
	Books							₩5,005			
Other		Not at	₩5.00-	₩1,010-	₩2,020-	₩3,030-	₩4,030+	Mean	Std.Dev		
books/b	bag	all	₩1,005	₩2,010	₩3,020	₩4,030					
2	Note-	71	1010	597	252	375	322	2.31	1.47		
	books	(2.7)	(38.4)	(22.7)	(9.6)	(14.3)	(12.3)				
7	Novel	490	619	621	251	361	281	2.09	1.62		
		(18.7)	(23.6)	(23.6)	9.6	13.7	(10.7)				
8	Past	408	698	619	215	344	342	2.16	1.63		
	quest-	(15.5)	(26.6)	(23.6)	(8.2)	(13.1)	(13.0)				
	ions										
13	Sch.	270	449	793	330	403	382	2.49	1.55		
	Bag	(10.3)	(17.1)	(30.2)	(12.6)	(15.3)	(14.5)				
Weight	ed	2.26 (¥	15.00-N1	,005.00)							
Average											

Table 1: Household Expenditure on Book Materials

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 1 reveals that parents of SS3 students in public schools in Osun State spent between \$5.00 and \$5,005.00 on textbooks (mean = 2.00). Their expenditure on other books and school bags were between \$5.00 and \$1,005.00 (mean = 2.26). These imply that households spend part of their income on books and book related items.

Ma	terials	Not	₩5.00 -	₩55.00-	₩105.00	₩205.00-	₩300.00	Mea	Std.
		at all	N 50.0	№100.0	-	N300.00	+	n	Dev
			0	0	N200.00				
3	Pen	48	671	835	702	193	178	2.33	1.1
		(1.8)	(25.5)	(31.8)	(26.7)	(7.3)	(6.8)		8
4	Statistic	131	258	518	776	565	378	2.98	1.5
	al table	(5.0)	(9.8)	(19.7)	(29.5)	(21.5)	(14.4)		7
5	Ruler	164	1117	695	366	209	76	1.84	1.1
		(6.2)	(42.5)	(26.5)	(13.9)	(8.0)	(2.9)		7
6	Pencils	115	1210	657	382	190	70	1.84	1.3
		(4.4)	(46.1)	(25.0)	(14.5)	(7.2)	(2.7)		4
1	Drawing	194	752	928	466	203	84	1.99	1.1

Table 2: Household Expenditure on Materials and Tools

1	book	(7.4)	(28.6)	(35.3)	(17.7)	(7.7)	(3.2)		6
1	Graph	119	530	906	665	314	93	2.30	1.1
2	book	(4.5)	(20.2)	(34.5)	(25.3)	(12.0)	(3.5)		6
Weig	Weighted average 2.21 (++5.00-+++50.00)								
Тос	ols	Not	₩5.00-	N 505-	№1,005 -	₩1,505 -	N2,000.00	Mea	Std.
		at all	N 500	₩1,00	№1,500	₩2,000	+	n	Dev
				0					
9	Math.	376	1185	399	140	305	221	1.80	1.5
	Sets	(14.3	(45.1)	(15.2)	(5.3)	(11.6)	(8.4)		0
)							
1	Calcula-	258	733	755	279	327	275	2.19	1.4
0	tor	(9.8)	(27.9)	(28.7)	(10.6)	(12.4)	(10.5)		8
We	ighted	2.00 (₦	↓5.00- _N 50	0.00)					
Ave	Average								

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 2 reveals that household expenditure on writing materials was between \$5.00 and \$50.00 (weighted average = 2.21) and that of necessary tools like mathematical set and calculator was between \$5.00 and \$500.00 (weighted average = 2.00). These imply that households spend part of their income on school materials such as mathematical sets, calculator, graph book, drawing book, ruler, pencil and pen.

S/No	Transport to	Not at	₩10 -	₩130.00 -	₩250.00-	₩370.00-	₩480+	Mean	Std.	
	school and	all	₩120	₩240.00	₩360.00	₩480.00			Dev	
	lesson									
14	Transport to	377	1023	522	127	281	297	1.93	1.57	
	attend	(14.4)	(38.9)	(19.9)	(4.8)	(10.7)	(11.3)			
	school daily									
15	Cost of	609	728	453	153	244	440	2.01	1.77	
	attending	(23.2)	(27.7)	(17.2)	(5.8)	(9.3)	(16.7)			
	coaching									
	classes									
16	Cost to	577	539	567	199	302	443	2.17	1.76	
	attend	(22.0)	(20.5)	(21.6)	(7.6)	(11.5)	(16.9)			
	weekend									
	classes									
Wei	ghted	2.01 (N	2.01 (\+10.00-++120.00)							
aver	age									
Tran	sport for	Not	₩5.00-	₩1,005	₩2,005-	₩3,005-	₩4,000+	Mean	Std.	
excu	irsion	at all	₩1,000	₩2,000	₩3,000	₩4,000			Dev	
17	Cost of	853	352	275	311	531	305	2.09	1.84	
	transport	(32.5)	(13.4)	(10.5)	(11.8)	(20.2)	(11.6)	₩5.00-		
	for							₩1,000		
	excursion									

Table 3: Household Expenditure on Transportation

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 3 reveals household expenditure on transportation of secondary school students. From the table, the expenditure on transportation to school and lessons daily was between \$10.00 and \$120.00 (weighted average = 2.01) while that of transportation for excursion per term was between \$5.00 and \$1,000.00 (weighted average = 2.09). This implies that certain portion of the household income is spent on students' transportation to attend classes.

S/No	Food	Not at	₩5.00-	₽115.00-	₽225.00-	₩335.00-	₩440.00+	Mean	Std.Dev
		all	₩110.00	₽220.00	₩330.00	₩440.00			
18	Food	230	1104	687	160	251	193	1.91	1.89
	daily	(8-8)	(42.0)	(26.2)	(6.1)	(9.6)	(7.3)		
19	Money	435	1288	307	114	256	227	1.68	1.50
	to sch.	(16.6)	(49.0)	(11.7)	(4.3)	(9.7)	(8.6)		
Weighted 1.80 (\{1.80 (\{1.80 \}.00 - \{1.10.00\}									
Avera	age								
S/No	Snacks	Not at	₩5.00-	₩55.00-	₩105.00-	₩155.00-	\ 200.00+	Mean	Std.Dev
	and	all	₩50.00	₩100.00	₩150.00	<mark>₩200.00</mark>			
	Water								
20	Buns	794	807	410	91	271	254	1.61	1.64
		(30.2)	(30.7)	(15.6)	(3.5)	(10.3)	(9.7)		
21	Biscuits	943	794	307	70	231	276	1.50	1.68
		(35.9)	(30.2)	(11.7)	(2.9)	8.8	10.5		
22	Sweet	1148	876	238	97	43	225	1.12	1.48
		(43.7)	(33.3)	(9.1)	(3.7)	(1.6)	(8.6)		
23	Water	562	1180	326	74	287	198	1.60	1.51
		(21.4)	(44.9)	(12.4)	(2.8)	(10.9)	(7.5)		
Wei	ighted	1.46 (1	Not at all)						
Ave	rage								

Table 4: Household Expenditure on Food Items

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 4 reveals household expenditure on food items on secondary school students in Osun State. Expenditure on food and money taken to school was between ± 5.00 and ± 110.00 (weighted average=1.80). Expenditure on snacks and water had a weighted average of 1.46, which indicates that most of the students do not take buns, biscuits, sweet and water to school.

S/No	Water	Not	₩5.00-	₩505.00-	₩1,005	₩1,505-	₩2,000+	Mean	Std.Dev
	Bottle	at all	₩500.00	₩1,000	-	₩2,000			
					₩1,500				
25	Water	1043	738	172	152	278	244	1.50	2.12
	bottle	(39.7)	(28.1	(6.5)	(5.8)	(10.6)	(9.3)	(N 5.00-	
								₩500.00))
Weig	Weighted 1.50 (¥5.00-¥500.00)								
Avera	age								
S/No	Flask/	Not	₩5.00-	№1,005 -	₩2,005-	₩3,005-	N 4,000+	Mean	Std.
	Excur-	at all	₩1,000	₩2,000	₩3,000	₩4,000			Dev
	sion								
	feeding								
24	Food	721	801	477	117	306	205	1.66	1.58
	flasks	(27.4)	(30.5)	(18.2)	(4.5)	(11.6)	(7.8)		
26	Excur-	921	536	347	95	332	396	1.84	1.87
	sion	(35.1)	(20.4)	(13.2)	(3.6)	(12.6)	(15.1)		
	feeding								
Wei	ghted	1.75 (N5.00-N1	,000.00)					
Ave	rage								

Table 5: Household Expenditure on Water Bottle, Food Flask and Excursion Feeding

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 5 reveals household expenditure on water bottle, food flask and feeding during excursion. Expenditure on water bottle was between \$5.00 and =\$500.00 (weighted average=1.50) while that of food flask and excursion feeding was between \$5.00 and =\$1,000.00 (weighted average=1.75). The results imply that households spend money on those items.

	-		<u> </u>	-		-		1	
S/n	Home	Not at	№100 -	₩2,100 -	₩4,100 -	₩6,100 -	N8,000+	Mean	Std.Dev
	lesson	all	<mark>₩2,000</mark>	₩4,000	₩6,000	₩8,000			
29	Home	772	825	338	101	292	299	1.70	1.71
	lesson	(29.4)	(31.4)	(12.9)	(3.8)	(11.1)	(11.4)	₩ 100 -	
	fees							₩2,000	
S/No	School/	Not at	N 100 -	N1,300 -	N 2,500 -	₩3,700 -	₩4,900+	Mean	Std.Dev
	outside	all	₩1,200	₩2,400	₩3,600	₩4,800			
	sch.								
	Lesson								
27	School	739	899	469	242	148	130	1.47	1.87
	lesson	(28.1)	(34.2)	(17.9)	(9.2)	(5.6)	(4.9)		
28	Outside	524	780	490	155	423	255	1.98	1.64
	school	(19.9)	(29.7)	(18.7)	(5.9)	(16.1)	(9.7)		
	lesson								
30	Lesson	813	746	201	276	423	168	1.72	1.66

Table 6: Household Expenditure on Extra Lessons

	during break	(30.9)	(28.4)	(7.7)	(10.5)	(16.1)	(6.4)		
31	Pay to subject teacher	999 (38.0)	349 (13.3)	181 (6.9)	631 (24.0)	349 (13.3)	118 (4.5)	1.75	1.66
32	School charges for excur- sion	1084 (41.3)	276 (10.5)	195 (7.4)	341 (13.0)	489 (18.6)	242 (9.1)	1.87	2.24
Weigh Averag		1.76 (N	100.00- -N	1,200.00)					

Note: the figures represent number of households while the values in the parentheses represent the percentages.

Table 6 reveals household expenditure on extra lessons in Osun State. The results showed that expenditure on home lesson was between \$1,000.00 and \$2,000.00 (weighted average=1.70) while school and outside school lesson expenditure were between \$100.00 and \$1,200.00 (weighted average=1.76). The results imply that most households in Osun State spend money on extra lessons.

Item cost	Weighted	Translation in
	average	cost
Expenditure on learning materia	als	
Textbooks	2.00	\ 5.00-
		₩5,005.00
Other books	2.26	₩5.00 -
		₦1,005.00
Writing materials	2.21	₩5.00-₩50.00
Tools	2.00	₦5.00- ₦500.00
Expenditure on transportation		
Transport to school/lesson	2.01	₩ 10.00- ₩ 120.00
Excursion	2.09	\ 5.00-
		₩1,000.00
Expenditure on food items		
Food	1.80	₦5.00- ₦110.00
Snacks and water	1.46	(Not at all)
Water bottle	1.50	₦5.00- ₦500.00
Food container and excursion	1.75	₩5.00-

Table 7: Household Education Expenditure in Osun State

		₦1,000.00				
Expenditure on extra lessons						
Home lesson	1.70	₩100.00-				
		₩2,000.00				
School and outside school	1.76	₩100.00-				
lesson		₩1,200.00				

Table 7 shows the profile of household expenditure on public secondary school students in terms of learning materials, transportation, food items and extra lessons. The table reveals that the highest expenditure on educational materials was on other books (weighted average = 2.26); followed by writing materials (weighted average = 2.21) while textbooks and tools had the least weighted average of 2.00 each. Expenditure on transportation to school and lesson had a weighted average of 2.01 while expenditure on transportation for excursion had a weighted average of 2.09. On food items, food has the highest weighted average (1.80) while expenditure on snacks and water had the least weighted average of 1.46. On extra lesson, expenditure on school and outside school lessons had a weighted average of 1.76, while home lesson weighted average was 1.70.

Research Question 2: What is the average household education expenditure on senior secondary school students in Osun State based on location (rural and urban)?

Table 8: Average Household Education Expenditure in Urban andRural Areas of Osun State

Item cost	Urban	Rural
Expenditure on textbooks per session	₦3,000.00	₦2,000.00
Expenditure on notebooks per term	№ 500.00	₩500.00
Expenditure on transportation to attend school daily	₦60.00	₩50.00
Expenditure on feeding per day	N 55.00	₩ 50.00
Outside school extra lesson per month	№ 650.00	₩450.00

Table 8 reveals the household education expenditure in urban and rural areas of Osun State as \$3,000.00 and \$2,000.00 (textbooks), \$500.00

and \$500.00 (notebooks), \$60.00 and \$50.00 (transportation to school daily), \$55.00 and \$50.00 (feeding per day) and \$650.00 and \$450.00 (outside school extra lessons per month), respectively.

Discussion of Findings

The results of the study revealed that certain portion of the household income is spent on students' books, feeding, transportation and extra lessons. The findings agreed with the submission of Oyegoke (2012) and Munda and Odebero (2014) that parents have a major role to play in financing the education of their children, as government alone could not shoulder the responsibility of providing free education. It also supported Adepoju and Oluchukwu (2011), who had earlier advised that parents should be well educated to take up the challenges and responsibilities of financing the education of their children.

From the results, 91.6%, 85.6%, 91.2%, 83.4% and 70.82% of the households in the state spent on students' textbooks, transportation to attend school, food, gives money to buy food items in the school and extra lessons, respectively. The results agreed with the submission of Purnachandra (2014) who found nothing like free education at any level in India. The results was higher than the findings of Pan-Canadian Education Indicators Programme Fact Sheet (2009), which found, between 1997 and 2006, that 43% of families spent money on items such as tuition, textbooks and school supplies. Friedrich and Kelvin (2019) had also found household spending on secondary education to amount to 20-25% of average GDP per person in Benin, Chad, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, and Niger, and more than 30% in Togo.

The study also revealed variation in household expenditure on books, food items, transportation and extra lesson based on location of residence. The findings agreed with Reham and Hala (2016), who had also found variation in household education expenditure in Egypt, Jordan, Tunisia, Palestine and Sudan based on location of residence. FGN (2004) also found household expenditures on students feeding per year to be: H6,210:00 (urban), H6,723:20 (rural); transportation H9,198:00 (urban), H17,940:00 (rural); extra lesson H2,704:80 (urban), H1,963:90 (rural).

Conclusion

The study concluded that free education in Osun State is not totally free for households. Household education expenditure is a key determinant to students' participation in secondary education in the state.

Recommendations

The state free education programme should focus more on alleviating household burden by providing students with textbooks, transport, feeding at school and quality of instruction. Households in the state should also be empowered economically to take good care of their children.

References

- Adedeji A. (2019). Why costs still play a role in out-of-school children problem in Nigeria. Retrieved from: <u>http://cseaafrica.org/whycosts-still-play-a-role-in-out-of-school-children-problem-innigeria/</u> on September 5, 2021.
- Adedeji, S. O.: Fabunmi, M. & Olaniyan, O. (2010). Improving the quality of early childhood education in Africa. *African Journal of Educational Management.* 13(1), 1-8.
- Adepoju, T. L. & Oluchukwu, E. E. (2011). Secondary school students' academic performance at the senior school certificate examinations and implications for educational planning and policy in Nigeria. *An International Multidisciplinary Journal, Ethiopia*, 5 (6), 314-333.
- Agunwamba, A.:, Bloom, D.:, Friendman, A.:, Ozolins, M.:, Rosenberg, L.:, Steven, D. & Weston, M. (2009). Nigeria: the next generation –literature review. British Council Nigeria. Retrieved from: http://www .nextgenerationnigeria.org/wpcontent/uploads/2009/06/NigeriaLiteratureReview-28may09-Final.pdf. on August 30, 2011.
- Akinmoladun, O. O. (2015). Household education expenditure and demographic factors as correlate of academic performance of public secondary school students in Osun State, Nigeria. An unpublished Ph.D Thesis. Department of Educational Management, University of Ibadan.
- Akinmoladun, O. O. (2020). Household education expenditure as correlate of academic performance of secondary school

students in Osun State, Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Management.* 21(1), 53-70.

- Alabi, R. A. (2010). Progressivity of education spending in Nigeria. Institute for World Economics and International Management. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.iwim.uni-bremen.de/Dorow/Alabi%202010%20-%20Progressivity-1.pdf</u> on May 13, 2011.
- Ayeni, A. O. (2003). Educational cost, In Babalola J. B. (Ed.), *Basic text in educational planning,* Department of Educational Management, University of Ibadan, 301–335.
- Elif, O. A.:, Burak G. & Seyit, M. C. (2016). An empirical analysis of household education expenditure in Turkey. Retrieved from: <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S07380</u> 5931630030X, on February 12, 2021.
- Ewumi, A. M. (2012). Gender and socio-economic status as correlates of students' academic achievement in senior secondary schools. *European Scientific Journal, 8*(4), 23-36.
- Federal Government of Nigeria. (2004). *Education Data for Decision-Making*. Abuja: National Population Commission, and ORC Macro, Calverton, Maryland, USA
- Friedrich, H. & Kelvin, M. (2019). *Family spending on education: a new guidebook on measurement.* Retrieved from: https://blogs.worldbank.org/opendata/family-spending-education-new-guidebook-measurement, on February 19, 2021.
- Godpower A. I. N. (2015). Barriers to equality of access to educational opportunity in Nigeria: A philosophical perspective. *Journal of Education and Practice*. 6(4), 148-152. Retrieved from https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltEJ1083781ext/.pdf on September 5, 2021.
- Ileuma, S. (2010). Causal factors of low enrolment rates at primary level of education in Nigeria: focuses on Oyo and Ogun states of Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Management*. 13 (1), 45-60.
- Lewin, K. M. (2006). Financing secondary education in Commonwealth countries: New challenges for policy and practice. *Position paper for ministers at the 16th Conference of Commonwealth Education Ministers,* Cape Town, South Africa: December 10–

15.Retrievedfrom:http://www.create-rpc.org/pdfdocuments/ministerpaper.pdfonSeptember20,2021.

- Munda, S. W. & Odebero, S. (2014). The influence of education costs on students' academic performance in Kenya: an empirical study of Bungoma county secondary schools. *Asian Journal of Educational Research*, 2(1), 1-11.
- NigeriaEducationDataSurvey(2015).Householdeducationexpenditure.Retrievedfrom:https://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf docs/PA00KZCV.pdfonSeptember5, 2021.
- Nwachukwu, P. O. (2014). Funding education for sustainable development in Nigeria: Challenges and the way forward. *Journal of Education and Practice.* 5(20), 51-56. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/234635965.pdf on September5, 2021
- Nwangwu, R.; Fagbulu, A.; Aderogba, F.; Ayodele, S.; Olapeju, O. O.; Yusufu, R. & Kalu, K. E. (2005). Nigeria education sector diagnosis: A framework for re-engineering the education sector. Education sector analysis unit, Federal Ministry of Education. Obanya P. A. I. (Ed.) Retrieved from: <u>http://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/upload/Nigeria/-</u> <u>Nigeria%20Education%20Sector%20Diagnosis.pdf</u>. on March 15, 2011.
- Odia, L. O. & Omofonmwan, S. I. (2007). Education in Nigeria: problems and prospects. *Journal of Socio Sciences*. 14 (1), 81–86.
- Ojowu, O., Bulus, H. & Omonona, B. (2007). Nigeria poverty assessment. Retrieved from: <u>http://www.pak-nigeria.org/</u> <u>pdfs/24_Nigeria_Poverty_Assessment_2007-</u> Table_of_Contents_Executionalf_on_May 15_2011

<u>Table_of_Contents_Executi.pdf</u>. on May 15, 2011.

- Oyegoke, S. A. (2012). The relationship between private cost and students academic performance in secondary schools in Ekiti State, Nigeria. *Journal of Educational and Social Research, 2*(8), 121-127.
- Pan-Canadian Education Indicators Programme Fact Sheet. 2009. Household spending on education. Tourism and the Centre for Education Statistics Division, Main Building, Room 2001, Ottawa, K1A 0T6. Catalogue No. 81-599-X — Issue No. 001.

- Purnachandra, R. (2014). Analysis of household expenditure on education. *International Journal of Education and Information Studies*. 4(1), 35-39.
- Reham, R. & Hala, A. (2016). *Determinants of household expenditure on children's education: evidence from MENA countries*. Retrieved from: <u>http://fesp-eg.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/</u> <u>Determinants-of-Household-Expenditure-on-Children%E2%80</u> <u>%99s-Education_RR_HAA_1ST-DRAFT.pdf</u>, on February 12, 2021.
- Tilak, J. B. G. 2002. *Determinants of household expenditure on education in Rural India*. National Council of Applied Economic Research, Working paper Series No. 88.
- Udiada, L. A., Ebuara, V. O. & Ozurumba, C. N. (2011). Socio-educational implications of poverty reduction and democratic experience in Nigeria. *African Journal of Educational Management*. 14(2), 99–108.
- Yu, Z. & Xuehan, Z. (2017). Can higher household education expenditure improve the national college entrance exam performance? Empirical Evidence from Jinan, China. Current Issues in Comparative Education (CICE), 19 (2), 8-32. Retrieved from: https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1144807.pdf, on February 19, 2021.