

# Utilisation of A Poultry Wastes Meal as A Replacement for Fishmeal in Diets of *Clarias gariepinus*

ORISASONA, O. Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. *Corresponding Author* E-mail:osasonagbenga@gmail.com

#### Abstract

With increasing production from Nigeria's animal production sector and the attendant increased turnover in wastes generation from processing plants, there is the need to for effective utilization of wastes for a meal in animal production. The effect of replacing fishmeal with a newly available commercial poultry waste meal (PWM) in the diets of Clarias gariepinus was investigated. The proximate composition of PWM was determined using standard procedures. Five isonitrogenous diets (40% Crude protein) were produced using PWM to replace fishmeal at 0% (PW1), 25% (PW2), 50% (PW3), 75% (PW4) and 100% (PW5) levels. Diets were fed for 70 days at 3% body weight daily in two installments, to three hundred C. gariepinus (8.50±0.01g) randomly distributed into 15 plastic aquaria at 20 fish per 30L of water. Mean weight gain (MWG), Average daily weight gain (ADWG), Feed conversion ratio (FCR), Specific growth rate (SGR), Profit index (PI) and Incidence of cost (IC) were determined. Packed Cell Volume (PCV), Haemoglobin (Hb), Red Blood Cell counts (RBC) were measured. MWG, ADWG, Feed intake, FCR and SGR showed no significant difference (P>0.05) in PW1, PW2, PW3 and PW4. Fish survival ranged from 77.77% in PW5 to 95.55% in PW3. Although PI and IC did not vary significantly in all treatments, PW4 recorded the highest PI (3.54) and least IC (0.26) values. PCV and Hb ranges between 22 to 28.01% and 4.34 to 5.62 g/dL<sup>-1</sup> respectively. RBC and WBC were significantly higher (p<0.05) in PW4 and PW5 groups, while values for platelets did not show any significant variation (p>0.05) across treatments. Results of the polynomial regression reveal that the poultry waste meal can successfully replace 56% of fishmeal in the diet of C. gariepinus juveniles when growth is considered.

Keywords: Poultry by-product meal, fishmeal, African Catfish, wastes processing.

# Introduction

Aquaculture has been described as probably the fastest growing in the food-producing sector and contributes 44.1% of total fish production globally (FAO, 2016). However, Sub-Saharan

Africa aquaculture supplies only 3% of global fish production and this is largely due to the high cost of fish feed. Protein is the most expensive and important component in fish diet (Ngandzali *et al.*, 2011) and is supplied mostly by fishmeal, which is highly relied upon as a good source of

well-balanced amino acids in the fish diet. With a 7.05MMT annual fishmeal production globally, except in El Nino years (5-5.7MMT) depending on the severity (Hardy and Tacon, 2002), aquaculture growth exerts increasing pressure on the fishmeal industry, where increased production is unsustainable. Therefore, price of fishmeal will continue to increase, causing a further increase in the cost of fish production. This explains the concerted efforts at searching for alternative to fishmeal in fish diets; with adequate considerations given to the quality of alternative ingredients, the economic benefit, accessibility, availability, health implication to the cultured organism. Similarly, ingredients are fundamentally important in terms of both quality of resulting food products and potential effects on human health (Sapkotaet al, 2007).

The processing of poultry generates waste in large quantities (Giri *et al*, 2000). Wastes include offal (feathers, entrails, and organs of slaughtered birds), processing waste and bio-solids. Though most of the by-products can provide organic and inorganic nutrients, however, they also give rise to potential environmental and human health concerns. Therefore, disposing these wastes is a challenge. However, finding an economical way of converting them to acceptable substitute to animal protein source has been a major challenge (Giri *et al.*, 2000).

Though the replacement of fishmeal by single protein sources such as poultry by-product meal, poultry visceral and feather meals have been reported (Turker et al, 2005; Usman et al, 2007; Adewolu et al, 2010), none of them completely replaced fish meal. This may be attributed to nutritional restrictions associated with losses of essential amino acids during processing (Onifade et al, 1998) and the presence of insoluble proteins, for example in feather meal which provides mechanical resistance and impairs degradation by conventional proteolytic enzymes (Kornillowicz-Kowalska and Bohacz, 2011). However, Zhou et al (2004) reported that poultry by-product meal is suitable in the diet of carnivorous fish species due to its palatability, total digestible dry matter, protein content, and energy content similar to that of fishmeal. When the cost of the poultry waste meal and fishmeal are considered, then the

former would seem to be a cost effective protein source in fish diet (Wang *et al.*, 2006; Shapawi *et al.*, 2007), and thus providing a substantial savings in production cost. This should however, be tested alongside the growth and health performance of the target species.

The introduction of a new poultry waste product into the Nigerian market thus requires some scientific testing for safety in investment of farmers and sustainable fish production. This study aims at investigating the effect of this processed poultry wastes meal as a replacement for fishmeal in the diet on the growth, haematological and economic performance of *Clarias gariepinus*.

# Materials and methods

#### Study site and Experimental fish

The experiment was conducted at the Aquaculture Laboratory of the Department of Animal Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo. Three hundred and fifty *Clarias gariepinus* procured from a reputable hatchery were acclimatized to laboratory conditions for 14 days, during which they were fed a commercial diet (40% crude protein). After acclimatization, 300 fish ( $8.50\pm0.01g$ ) were randomly distributed into 15 plastic aquaria at 20 fish/30Litres.

#### **Experimental diet and schedule**

Poultry waste meal (PWM) was procured from Zartech Limited Ibadan. Five isonitrogenous diets were produced with PWM replacing fishmeal at 0 (PW1), 25 (PW2), 50 (PW3), 75 (PW4) and 100% (PW5) levels (Table 1). Diets were fed to triplicate groups of fish at 3% body weight twice daily (900hr-930hr and 1600hr-1630hr) for 70 days. Fish were weighed bi-weekly for feed adjustment. Water quality parameters were monitored throughout the feeding trial.

#### **Measurement and analysis**

The proximate composition of diets and fish samples (before and after trial) was determined as described by A.O.A.C (2005). Weight gain, specific growth rate and feed conversion ratio were calculated from fish weights. Economic analysis was carried out using Profit index (PI) and Incidence of Cost (IC).

Ingredient (%)	PW1	PW2	PW3	PW4	PW5
Fishmeal	22.33	18.24	13.40	7.47	-
PWM	-	6.08	13.40	22.37	33.62
Soybean Meal	22.33	22.33	22.33	22.33	22.33
GNC	22.33	22.33	22.33	22.33	22.33
Maize	14.51	13.51	12.28	10.76	8.86
Wheat offal	14.51	13.51	12.28	10.76	8.86
Lysine	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Methionine	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Dicalcium Phosphate	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Salt	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Premix	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Starch	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	100.01	100.00	100.02	100.02	100.00
Cost/Kg (N)	311.70	292.3	269.70	241.80	206.30
Calculated					
Crude protein (%)	40.01	40.00	39.98	39.99	39.97
Fat	4.70	5.97	7.51	9.40	11.75
Energy (Kcal/kg)	2770.80	2786.00	2805.70	2829.70	2852.50

Table 1: Gross composition of PWM based diets fed to C. gariepinus for 70 days

# Statistical analysis

Data resulting were subjected to one-way Analysis of Variance at p<0.05 (Using Statistical Package for Social Sciences Version 15, IBM corporation, New York, USA). Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) of the statistical package was used to compare differences between means.

# **Results and Discussion**

Crude protein and ether extract contents of PWM presented in Table 2, falls within the range (41-53% and 12-25% respectively) reported by Fransen et al (1995). Ash content in the present study is however, lower than the 9-15% reported by the authors. The result of the proximate analysis of PWM shows a lower crude protein and ash contents than fishmeal, while ether extract is higher; almost thrice the value reported for fishmeal by Jensen (1990). This is reflected in higher values of calculated fat content in experimental diets with increasing level of PWM inclusion. Feed consumption was not significantly affected (P>0.05) by the inclusion of PWM up to 75%, but they however, vary significantly (P<0.05) with the PW5 groups and is reflected in the weight gain. FCR and SGR

were inferior in PW5. Final weight in experimental fish ranged from 32.72g in PW5 to 58.87g in PW1. PW5 was significantly low (P<0.05) in final weight when compared with other treatments. Mean weight gained showed the same trend, with the highest value recorded in PW1 (50.37g). This value was however not significantly different from values recorded in PW2, PW3 and PW4.ADWG ranged from 0.34g in PW5 through 0.60g in PW4 to 0.71g in Pw1.

The lower weight gain recorded in fish fed diets containing over 75% PWM replacement of fishmeal, may be attributed to a shift in the nutrient balance in diets. In addition to the variation in the ether extract contents mentioned earlier, Adewumi *et al* (2011) reported lower lysine content in PWM compared to fishmeal and that diets containing over 75% poultry by-product meal would be deficient in this amino acid.

The relationship between the PWM levels of inclusion and the feed conversion ratio and mean weight gain are shown in Figures 1 and 2, with optimal inclusion levels 56 and 50% respectively. The relationships are best expressed by the following polynomial regression equations;

Y =	-13867X3+1.0286X2+0.4181X+3.0506
RY =	$3E - 0.06X^{3} - 0.0003X^{2} + 0.0113X + 1.2217 (R^{2} = 0.989) FCR$
Y =	$-0.0001X^{3} + 0.0111X^{2} - 0.3528X + 50.197 (R^{2} = 0.9853)$ MWG

	1				
Ingredient	Crude protein (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Ether extract (%)	Ash (%)	Moisture (%)
Fishmeal*	72.00	-	8-10	14.00	7.00
PWM	51.41	0.25	26.66	7.13	6.95

Table 2: Proximate composition of fishmeal and PWM

\*According to Jensen (1990)

 Table 3: Growth, nutrient utilization and economic performance of C. gariepinus juveniles fed

 Poultry waste meal based diets for 70 days

PW1	PW2	PW3	PW4	PW5	SEM
8.50	8.48	8.56	8.49	8.49	0.014
$58.87^{a}$	54.49 <sup>a</sup>	57.12 <sup>a</sup>	50.94 <sup>a</sup>	32.72 <sup>b</sup>	2.963
50.37 <sup>a</sup>	46.01 <sup>a</sup>	48.56 <sup>a</sup>	42.45 <sup>a</sup>	24.23 <sup>b</sup>	2.952
0.71 <sup>a</sup>	$0.65^{a}$	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	$0.60^{a}$	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.042
61.76 <sup>a</sup>	62.05 <sup>a</sup>	62.11 <sup>a</sup>	56.91 <sup>a</sup>	42.83 <sup>b</sup>	2.145
1.22 <sup>b</sup>	1.34 <sup>b</sup>	1.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.34 <sup>b</sup>	$1.76^{a}$	0.069
$2.76^{a}$	$2.65^{a}$	$2.70^{a}$	2.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.109
2.43 <sup>a</sup>	$2.20^{ab}$	2.32 <sup>a</sup>	$2.25^{ab}$	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.089
93.33 <sup>a</sup>	86.66 <sup>ab</sup>	95.55 <sup>a</sup>	91.10 <sup>a</sup>	$77.77^{b}$	2.125
2.96	2.87	3.27	3.54	3.21	0.130
0.31	0.33	0.28	0.26	0.31	0.012
	PW1 8.50 58.87 <sup>a</sup> 50.37 <sup>a</sup> 0.71 <sup>a</sup> 61.76 <sup>a</sup> 1.22 <sup>b</sup> 2.76 <sup>a</sup> 2.43 <sup>a</sup> 93.33 <sup>a</sup> 2.96 0.31	$\begin{array}{c cccc} PW1 & PW2 \\ \hline 8.50 & 8.48 \\ 58.87^a & 54.49^a \\ 50.37^a & 46.01^a \\ 0.71^a & 0.65^a \\ 61.76^a & 62.05^a \\ 1.22^b & 1.34^b \\ 2.76^a & 2.65^a \\ 2.43^a & 2.20^{ab} \\ 93.33^a & 86.66^{ab} \\ 2.96 & 2.87 \\ 0.31 & 0.33 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Values with different superscript along rows are significantly different (P < 0.05)



Figure 1: Relationship between inclusion levels of PWM and Feed Conversion Ratio



Figure 2: Relationship between inclusion levels of PWM and Mean Weight Gain in experimental fish

A case of decreased growth at inclusion levels higher than 75% was also reported in Nengas *et al* (1999); feeding gilthead sea bream with poultry by-product meal.

However, a complete replacement of fishmeal with PWM without affecting growth and nutrient utilization was reported by Yones and Metwalli (2015) and Takag et al (2000) in the diets of Nile tilapia and Red sea bream respectively. This is in contrast to the result in Fowler (1991), where weight gain in Chinook Salmon reduced with up to 50% replacement of fishmeal with poultry by-product meal. The result is attributed to a reduction in histidine, lysine and methionine contents of the diets. Variation in utilization observed between this present and other studies may be due to the differences in the feeding habit and nutritional requirements of the fish species. Although there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in Profit index and Incidence of cost between treatments, PW4 gave the best indices with the lowest IC and highest PI. Pooled mean water temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH in this present study are within the range recommended for freshwater fishes

(El-Sayed, 2006).

The results of some haematological parameters in fish fed PWM based diets are presented in table 4. The PCV and Hb ranges between 22 to 28.01% and 4.34 to 5.62 g/dL<sup>-1</sup> respectively. RBC and WBC were significantly higher (p<0.05) in PW4 and PW5 groups, while values for platelets did not show any significant variation (p>0.05)across treatments. According to De Pedro et al. (2005), haematological parameters have been used to evaluate the physiological state and immune response of fish. High values of Hb and RBC are always indicative as good health status of fish (Zhou et al., 2005). The white blood count of fish or any animal is a function of the immunity and the animal's resistance to some vulnerable disease, while high red blood cell count indicates high oxygen absorption and transportation capacity of the fish (Akinwande et al., 2004). In this present study, all monitored parameters fall within the range recommended for the culture of fish species (Clarks et al., 1979) showing that PWM did not negatively affect the health status of *C. gariepinus*.

	-				
			RBC (cells x	WBC (cells x	
Treatments	PCV	Hb $(gdL^{-1})$	$10^{6} \text{mm}^{-3}$ )	$10^3 \text{mm}^{-3}$ )	Platelets
PW1	$27.00{\pm}1.00^{b}$	$5.62{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$2.26{\pm}0.34^d$	8.13±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	$5.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$
PW2	$22.00{\pm}0.57^{e}$	$5.24{\pm}0.05^{a}$	$2.16{\pm}0.61^{a}$	$8.43 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	$5.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$
PW3	$25.00{\pm}0.57^{d}$	$4.34{\pm}0.03^{\circ}$	$2.56{\pm}0.00^{\circ}$	$9.14{\pm}0.74^{b}$	$4.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$
PW4	$26.03 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	$4.85 \pm 0.26^{b}$	$3.01{\pm}0.27^{a}$	$10.01{\pm}0.15^{a}$	$5.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$
PW5	$28.01{\pm}0.10^{a}$	$4.67 \pm 0.11^{bc}$	$2.97 \pm 0.01^{b}$	$9.78{\pm}0.02^{a}$	$4.00{\pm}0.00^{a}$

 Table 4: Haematological indices in C. gariepinus juveniles fed Poultry waste meal based diets for 70 days

## Conclusion

The results of this study suggest that the commercial poultry wastes meal can successfully replace up to 56% fishmeal in the diets of *C. gariepinus* juveniles, when growth and economic performances are considered. However, further study is required on the fish produced using this meal, considering the fat content in diet.

## References

- Adewolu, M. A., Ikenweiwe, N.B. and Mulero, S.M (2010).Evaluation of an animal protein mixture as a replacement for fishmeal in practical diets for fingerlings of *Clarias* gariepinus (Burchell, 1822).*The Israeli* Journal of Aquaculture - Bamidgeh 62(4): 237-244.
- Adewumi, A.A, Adewumi, I. K and Olaleye, V. F (2011). Livestock waste-menace: Fish wealthsolution. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 5(3): 149-154
- Akinwande, A. A., Moody, F.O., Sogbesan, O. A., Ugwumba, A. A. A. and Ovie, S. O. (2004).
  Haematological response of *Heterobranchus longifilis* fed varying dietary protein levels.
  Proceedings of the 19<sup>th</sup> annual conference of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria Ilorin, 29<sup>th</sup> Nov.-3<sup>rd</sup> Dec. 2004, 715-718pp
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2005): Official Methods of Analysis, 17th edition. A.O.A.C, Washington, DC, USA.
- Clarks, S., Whitemore, D.H. Jr. and McMahon, R.F. (1979). Consideration of blood parameters of largemouth bass, (*Micropterus salmoides*). *Journal of Fish Biology* 14:147-154.

- De Pedro, N., A.I. Guijarro, M.A. López-Patiño, R. Martínez-Álvarez and Delgado M. J. (2005). Daily and seasonal variations in haematological and blood biochemical parameters in the tench *Tinca tinca* Linnaeus, 1758. Aquacult. Nutr., 36: 1185-1196.
- Duncan DB (1955) Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11:1-42.
- El-Sayed, A. F. M (2006). Tilapia culture. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK. 277pp.
- FAO (2016). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture: Contributing to Food Security and Nutrition for All. Rome, 200pp.
- Fowler, L. G (1991). Poultry by-product meal as a dietary protein source in fallchinook salmon diets. Aquaculture 99: 309-321
- Giri, S. S., Sahoo, S. K, SAhu, A. K and Mukhopadhyay, P. K (2000). Nutrient digestibility and intestinal enzyme activity of Clarias batrachus (Linn.) juveniles fed on dried fish and chicken viscera incorporated diets. *Bioresource Technology* 71:97-101.
- Hardy, R.W and Tacon, A.G.J (2002).Fish meal historical uses, production trends and future outlook or sustainable supplies. In R.R Stickney (Ed.), Sustainable Aquaculture New York: CABI
- Jensen, N. C (1990). Quality Fishmeal: Specification and use in aquaculture and fur farming. International by-products Conference, April 1990, AnchorageAlaska, 127-141.
- Ngandzali B, Zhou F, Xiong W, Shao Q, Xu J.2011. Effect of dietary replacement of fish meal by soybean protein concentrate on growth performance and phosphorus discharging of juvenileblack seabream(*Acanthopagrusschlegelii*). *Aquaculture Nutrition*17, 526-535.

- Sapkota, A. R., Lefferts, L. Y., McKenzie, S. and Walker, P. (2007). What do we feed to Food-Production Animals? A Review of Animal Feed Ingredients and their Potential Impacts on Human Health.Environmental Health Perspectives. 115(5): 663-670
- Shapawi, R., N. Wing-Keong and Saleem, M. (2007). Replacement of fish meal with poultry by-product meal in diets formulated for the humpback grouper, *Cromileptes altivelis*. Aquaculture, 273: 118-126.
- Takag, S., Hosokawa, H., Shimeno, S. and Kawa M. U (2000). Utilization of poultry byproduct meal in a diet for red sea bream *Pagrus major*. Nippon SuisanGakkaishi 66: 428-438.
- Turker A., Yigit, M., Ergun, S., Karaali, B. and Erteken, A (2005). Potential of poultry byproduct meal as a substitute for fishmeal in diets for Black Sea turbot *Scophthalmusmaeoticus*: Growth and nutrient utilization in winter. *Israeli Journal Of Aquaculture – Bamidgeh*, 57(1):49-61.

- Usman R., Palingi, N. N. and Ahmad T. (2007). Utilization of local feed ingredients in tiger grouper grow out moist diets. *Aquacult. Asia Mag.*, April pp 33-36
- Wang, Y., J. Guo, K. Li and Bureau, D. P. (2006). Replacement of fish meal with rendered animal ingredients in feeds for cuneate drum, *Nibea miichthioides*. Aquaculture, 252: 476-483.
- Yones, A. M. M. and Metwalli, A.A (2015). Effects of Fish Meal Substitution with Poultry By-product Meal on Growth Performance, Nutrients Utilization and Blood Contents of Juvenile Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). J Aquaculture Res Development 6: 389. doi:10.4172/2155-9546.1000389.
- Zhou, Q.C., B.P. Tan, K.S. Mai and Liu, Y. H. (2004). Apparent digestibility of selected feed ingredients for juvenile cobia *Rachycentron canadum*. Aquaculture, 241:441-451.
- Zhou, Q.C., K.-S. Mai, B.P. Tan and Liu, Y. H. (2005). Partial replacement of fishmeal by soybean meal in diets for juvenile cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). Aquacult. Nutr., 11:175-182.



www.theajfarm.com

ORISASONA, O. African Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Management Volume 3, 2018 ISSN: 2672-4197 (Prints) ISSN: 2672-4200 (Online) Pp 1-7

# Blank