Sustainable Urban Growth: Assessing the Role of Environmental Management Policies in Ibadan, Nigeria

[1]Babajide Femi Oguntokun and [2]Adebare Samuel Abegunde

Abstract

Rapid urbanisation remains one of the defining features of developing economies, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where cities are expanding at unprecedented rates. Nigeria exemplifies this trend, with its urban population growing by an estimated 4.3% annually. Ibadan, one of Nigeria's oldest and largest cities, has experienced significant spatial and demographic transformation, shifting from predominantly agrarian settlements to a rapidly expanding urban centre. However, this urban growth has largely been unplanned and poorly managed, resulting in severe environmental challenges, including flooding, improper waste disposal, deforestation, and unregulated land use. This study, therefore, examined the impact of environmental management policies on sustainable urban development in Ibadan, Nigeria, with a specific focus on the effectiveness of existing policies, governance mechanisms influencing policy implementation, and the challenges and opportunities affecting policy integration into urban planning. Anchored on the Sustainable Development Theory, the study adopted a qualitative research design utilizing semi-structured interviews and document review as primary and secondary data sources. Data were analysed thematically using Braun and Clarke's (2021) six-phase framework, drawing insights from policymakers, urban planners, environmental officers, community leaders, and representatives of non-governmental organisations. Findings revealed that although Ibadan possesses a relatively comprehensive framework of environmental management policies, such as the Oyo State Environmental Policy and the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP), their implementation remains weak due to inadequate funding, limited technical capacity, poor inter-agency coordination, and weak enforcement mechanisms (Aliyu & Adeyinka, 2024; Lawal et al., 2025). Institutional fragmentation and political interference further undermine policy continuity, while insufficient community engagement and accountability structures reduce compliance and legitimacy. Despite these challenges, the study identified opportunities for progress, including donor-funded partnerships, adoption of geospatial technologies, promotion of green infrastructure, and growing national-level policy reforms that could enhance local sustainability outcomes. The study concludes that Ibadan's urban environmental governance reflects a paradox of strong policy intent but weak implementation. Sustainable urban growth can only be achieved when environmental management policies are effectively integrated into urban planning processes through coherent governance, stable institutional frameworks, adequate funding, and participatory inclusiveness. It recommends strengthening institutional capacity, establishing a central environmental coordination mechanism to harmonize agency roles, institutionalizing GIS and environmental assessment tools in planning, and enhancing citizen participation to ensure resilient, inclusive, and sustainable urban development.

Contact

Babajide Femi Oguntokun
oguntokun.babajide@lcu.edu.ng

1. Introduction

Rapid urbanisation is a key feature of developing economies, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, where cities are growing at rates never seen before (United Nations, 2022). Nigeria, the most populous country in Africa, is a good example of this tendency. Its urban population is expanding by an average of 4.3% each year (Ben, 2023). Ibadan, one of Nigeria's oldest and biggest cities, has changed a lot in the last few decades. The city used to have typical dwelling clusters and people who worked in agriculture, but it has grown quickly in response to population growth, economic activity, and improvements to infrastructure (Alabi et al., 2021; Olusegun, 2023).

[1,2]*Department of Estate Management, Faculty of Environmental Design & Management, Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria*

But this growth has mostly been unplanned and poorly controlled, which has caused serious environmental problems like flooding, trash mishandling, deforestation, and land usage that isn't regulated (Echendu, 2023; Lubogo, 2024)

For cities to be sustainable, they need to find a balance between protecting the environment, promoting economic growth, and improving people's quality of life (Yusoff, 2020). In many Nigerian towns, like Ibadan, the lack of comprehensive and well-enforced environmental management policies has made it harder to reach this equilibrium (Ogunbode et al., 2025). Environmental management policies are rules that help people exploit natural resources, build on land, and reduce the negative effects of human activity on ecosystems (Lee et al., 2021). When done right, these kinds of regulations can help cities grow in a way that is good for the environment by encouraging smart land use planning, recycling, using renewable energy, and protecting ecosystems (Nathaniel et al., 2021). But the problem in Ibadan isn't just making these kinds of rules; it's also making sure they are followed and included in plans for urban growth.

The city's history and the way its buildings are arranged make it even harder to make it last. Ibadan's urban expansion, which is marked by mixed land use and poor infrastructure, has led to broken environmental governance (Aiyewunmi, 2023). The Oyo State Ministry of Environment and the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP) are two examples of organisations that are in charge of managing cities and the environment. They often have overlapping responsibilities and don't work well together (Ayorinde et al., 2023). So, it's still not clear how well environmental rules work to stop people from throwing away trash anywhere, changing land use without permission, and having bad drainage systems. The frequent flooding in Ibadan, especially in lowland areas with a lot of people, shows how important it is to use sustainable environmental management methods (Aiyewunmi, 2023).

Additionally, socioeconomic issues, including rural-to-urban migration, population density, and the rise of informal settlements, are having a bigger and bigger effect on Ibadan's growth (Galadima, 2021). These dynamics put a lot of stress on the few environmental resources and make it hard for local governments to keep the environment stable. Not

following environmental sustainability rules in urban design could hurt both the environment in Ibadan and the quality of life for its citizens (Oyeranmi, 2023). Consequently, there is an imperative necessity to assess the degree to which current environmental management policies have facilitated or impeded sustainable urban development within the metropolis.

Previous research has examined many facets of urban development and environmental degradation in Ibadan (Unegbua et al., 2024; Dano et al., 2020); nevertheless, there is a paucity of comprehensive evaluations of the interplay between policy implementation and sustainable urban outcomes. This study aims to address this deficiency by evaluating the influence of environmental management policies on fostering sustainable urban development in Ibadan, Nigeria.

The specific objectives are to:
i. evaluate the effectiveness of existing environmental management policies in promoting sustainable urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria;
ii. examine the institutional and governance mechanisms influencing the implementation and enforcement of environmental management policies in Ibadan; and
iii. identify the challenges and opportunities affecting the integration of environmental management policies into urban planning and development processes in Ibadan.

The research questions are:
i. How effective are the existing environmental management policies in promoting sustainable urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria?
ii. In what ways do institutional and governance mechanisms influence the implementation and enforcement of environmental management policies in Ibadan?
iii. What are the major challenges and opportunities affecting the integration of environmental management policies into urban planning and development processes in Ibadan?

2.   Literature Review
2.1   Theoretical Underpinning
This study is grounded in the Sustainable Development Theory, which serves as the core framework for comprehending the equilibrium of

urban expansion, environmental conservation, and social welfare. The World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) popularised the thesis in the Brundtland Report (Brundtland, 1987), entitled Our Common Future (1987). Sustainable development is defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (McNeill & Wilhite, 2014). The approach emphasizes on the necessity of integrating three interdependent dimensions: economic growth, social inclusion, and environmental sustainability, as fundamental pillars of comprehensive development. The sustainable progress theory asserts that urban growth should facilitate human progress while preserving ecological integrity and ensuring the long-term viability of resources (Kosoe & Ogwu, 2025). It emphasises the necessity for robust environmental management policies that guarantee equal access to urban infrastructure, optimise land use, minimise waste, and enhance resilience to environmental threats such as flooding and pollution. This viewpoint corresponds with international obligations, including the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal 11, which promotes the creation of inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable urban environments (Ionescu et al., 2024).

This theory is pertinent to the study as it offers a normative and analytical basis for assessing the impact of environmental management policies on the sustainability of urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria. The fast urban growth of Ibadan, alongside inadequate policy enforcement and disjointed governance frameworks, illustrates the conflict between economic advancement and environmental sustainability. The application of Sustainable Development Theory allows this study to evaluate the effectiveness of the city's environmental management techniques in incorporating ecological factors into urban planning and policy execution.

Moreover, the approach emphasizes the interrelationship between institutional governance and sustainability results. The absence of strong environmental management policies, rooted in accountability, inclusiveness, and sustainable ecological objectives, may result in urban growth causing resource depletion, social inequality, and environmental deterioration (Hariram et al., 2023).

Consequently, the Sustainable Development Theory serves as the foundational premise for this study's analysis of policy efficacy, institutional frameworks, and sustainable urban development results in Ibadan.

2.2   Empirical Review

Recent empirical studies on Ibadan and broader Nigerian contexts show consistent evidence that environmental management policies influence urban sustainability outcomes, but their effectiveness is frequently constrained by weak enforcement, institutional fragmentation, and socio-economic pressures.

Several empirical investigations focused on flood mitigation and the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP) provide direct evidence about policy interventions and urban outcomes. The World Bank–supported IUFMP and its associated strategic environmental and social assessments have documented structural and non-structural measures aimed at reducing flood risk and improving drainage infrastructure across priority sites in Ibadan (Ayorinde et al., 2021). Local empirical assessments of IUFMP projects find measurable improvements in drainage capacity and reduced frequency of inundation in targeted corridors, though community reports and property-value studies suggest benefits are uneven and contingent on complementary governance actions (IUFMP project documentation; recent property-value impact studies). These mixed but generally positive results highlight that large-scale infrastructural policy projects can reduce hazard exposure but that socio-institutional factors shape the distribution and persistence of benefits.

Waste management, a core component of urban environmental policy, has been the subject of multiple empirical studies in Ibadan LGAs. Field surveys and community health assessments repeatedly show that household and municipal solid waste practices remain problematic: indiscriminate dumping, insufficient collection coverage, and inadequate disposal sites all undermine environmental quality and public health (Siddiqua et al., 2022). Empirical papers document how gaps between national policy ambitions (e.g., plastic-waste reductions and recent national measures) and local implementation produce persistent environmental externalities, particularly in low-

income and peri-urban neighbourhoods. These studies indicate that policy clarity alone is insufficient; institutional capacity, financing, and community engagement determine on-the-ground outcomes.

Peri-urban and land-use change studies for Ibadan reveal rapid conversion of agricultural and green spaces to residential and mixed uses, trends that undermine ecosystem services and exacerbate runoff, erosion, and micro-climatic change. Empirical land-use change analyses using remote sensing (satellite imagery and GIS) show that peri-urban green infrastructure loss is strongly associated with greater flood vulnerability and reduced ecological resilience, suggesting that land-use policy and enforcement are central to sustainable urban growth (Gómez-Villarino et al., 2020). These empirical findings point to the need for integrated policies that protect peri-urban green assets alongside urban infrastructure investments.

A growing empirical literature on environmental governance in Nigeria highlights institutional weaknesses; overlapping mandates, poor interagency coordination, and low public participation, which weaken policy implementation. Reviews and country-level empirical syntheses conclude that many Nigerian environmental laws and policies are sound on paper but suffer from under-resourcing, limited monitoring, and weak sanction regimes; these governance deficits translate into inconsistent enforcement at city and local government levels (policy reviews; governance syntheses). Recent national policy moves (for example, the phased single-use plastics restrictions and the rollout of mandatory ESG reporting standards for companies) indicate stronger central policy intent, yet empirical commentary cautions that enforcement gaps and capacity constraints will determine local impacts (Reuters reporting; governance reviews). Collectively, empirical governance studies imply that policy success depends as much on institutional reform and stakeholder engagement as on technical design.

Finally, empirical evaluations emphasizing green infrastructure, community participation, and non-structural measures suggest that multi-scalar, participatory approaches increase resilience and sustainability. Studies on peri-urban green infrastructure and small-scale community initiatives in Ibadan document benefits in stormwater attenuation, micro-climate regulation, and biodiversity retention, benefits that are realized when policies explicitly safeguard these spaces and incentivize community stewardship. These empirical contributions strengthen the case for policies that integrate ecological preservation with urban planning instruments rather than treating them as separate or secondary objectives.

3.   Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative exploratory research design to assess the role of environmental management policies in promoting sustainable urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria. The choice of a qualitative approach was guided by the nature of the research problem, which required a contextual understanding of how policy initiatives, institutional frameworks, and stakeholder engagements influence urban sustainability. As Dodgson (2017) argued, qualitative inquiry is appropriate for exploring complex social processes and interpreting meanings from participants' perspectives. Consequently, an exploratory case study design was employed to gain an in-depth understanding of policy implementation practices and environmental governance structures within the city of Ibadan.

Ibadan, the capital of Oyo State, serves as the case study for this research. The city is one of the largest traditional urban centres in sub-Saharan Africa, covering approximately 3,080 square kilometres with an estimated population exceeding 3 million residents (Oyeranmi, 2023). Ibadan's rapid urbanization, coupled with inadequate environmental infrastructure, recurrent flooding, and waste management challenges, makes it a critical site for evaluating the effectiveness of environmental management policies (Oyeranmi, 2023). In recent years, several initiatives such as the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP), the Oyo State Environmental Management Policy, and urban renewal programs have sought to address sustainability concerns, providing a relevant policy context for this investigation.

The target population for the study consisted of key stakeholders involved in environmental management and policy enforcement in Ibadan. These included officials from the Oyo State Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, staff of the IUFMP and the Oyo State Waste Management Authority (OYOWMA), urban planners from

selected Local Government Areas, community leaders in environmentally vulnerable areas, and representatives of environmental non-governmental organizations (NGOs). A purposive sampling technique was employed to identify participants with substantial experience and direct involvement in policy formulation or implementation. Marrie (2023) emphasized that purposive sampling enables the researcher to engage information-rich respondents who can provide deep insights into the phenomenon under study. A total of twenty-five participants were selected, ensuring balanced representation from government agencies, planning institutions, local communities, and civil society organizations.

Primary data were gathered through semi-structured interviews and document review. The interview sessions were guided by open-ended questions designed to elicit participants' views on the effectiveness of environmental management policies, the level of institutional coordination, resource availability, enforcement mechanisms, and perceived challenges in achieving urban sustainability. Each interview lasted between forty and sixty minutes and was conducted in English. With participants' consent, all interviews were recorded and later transcribed verbatim to preserve the accuracy of responses. To complement interview data, relevant policy documents, including the Oyo State Environmental Management Policy, Ibadan Drainage Master Plan, Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment Report, and internal reports from OYOWMA, were reviewed. This triangulation of interview and documentary evidence provided a robust foundation for evaluating policy intent, implementation progress, and institutional performance.

Data analysis followed the thematic content analysis approach as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2021). The process began with the familiarization of the transcribed data, followed by the generation of initial codes that captured emerging patterns and meanings. Codes were subsequently organized into themes reflecting the core dimensions of environmental policy implementation, institutional governance, policy effectiveness, and barriers to sustainable urban development. The use of NVivo (version 12) facilitated the systematic organization and retrieval of data segments. Themes were refined and interpreted in light of existing literature and the Sustainable Development Theory, which provided

the theoretical foundation for the study. To enhance the rigor of the analysis, findings from different stakeholder groups were compared to identify convergence and divergence in perspectives, thereby strengthening the internal validity of the study.

Trustworthiness of the research was ensured through credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability, consistent with the framework proposed by Lincoln and Guba (1988). Credibility was established through triangulation of data sources and prolonged engagement with participants, while transferability was achieved by providing detailed descriptions of the study context. Dependability was ensured by maintaining an audit trail of research decisions and analytical steps, and confirmability was reinforced by adopting reflexivity and peer debriefing to minimize researcher bias. Ethical approval was obtained from the appropriate institutional review body before data collection commenced. All participants were informed of the study's purpose, provided with consent forms, and assured of confidentiality and anonymity. Data were securely stored and used solely for academic purposes in line with the ethical principles of the American Psychological Association.

4.   Results

Research Question One: How effective are the existing environmental management policies in promoting sustainable urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria?

The thematic analysis for this research question drew on data obtained from policymakers, urban planners, environmental officers, community leaders, and NGO representatives. Using Braun and Clarke's (2021) six-step approach, three major themes emerged from the analysis: (1) existence but limited enforcement of environmental policies, (2) infrastructural improvement with inconsistent sustainability outcomes, and (3) political and institutional barriers to effective implementation. Each theme captures how environmental management policies have shaped the pace and direction of sustainable urban growth in Ibadan.

Theme 1: Existence but Limited Enforcement of Environmental Policies

Participants acknowledged that Ibadan has a relatively comprehensive framework of environmental management policies and programs, including the Oyo State Environmental

Management Policy, the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP), and waste management reforms led by the Oyo State Waste Management Authority (OYOWMA). These initiatives were generally described as well-structured on paper, yet their implementation remains weak. Several interviewees from the Ministry of Environment noted that while policy documents emphasize environmental protection, actual enforcement is undermined by limited institutional capacity and inconsistent monitoring. One senior official stated that "policies exist, but they are not implemented rigorously due to funding shortages and lack of manpower." Document review of the Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment (Adebayo, 2025) confirmed that enforcement gaps persist in waste management and flood control programs, primarily because agencies lack technical and human resources.

This finding reflects similar observations in the literature. Ogunkan (2022) and Babalola et al. (2024) both reported that Nigerian cities often possess adequate environmental frameworks but face difficulties in enforcement due to bureaucratic delays, weak institutional coordination, and corruption. The findings suggest that while Ibadan's policy landscape is evolving, sustainability outcomes are constrained by poor follow-through.

Theme 2: Infrastructural Improvement with Inconsistent Sustainability Outcomes

The study also revealed that environmental management policies have contributed to measurable improvements in urban infrastructure, particularly in flood control and waste management. Respondents highlighted recent drainage system upgrades, construction of embankments under the IUFMP, and introduction of improved waste collection mechanisms as significant policy outcomes. However, participants consistently emphasized that these interventions are often reactive and project-based rather than strategic and long-term. A planning officer from Ibadan North-East observed that "many of these interventions are donor-driven; once funding ends, maintenance becomes a problem." Community leaders echoed similar sentiments, describing instances where drainage channels constructed under IUFMP became blocked within a year due to a lack of maintenance.

This theme aligns with findings by Ogunkan (2022), who noted that environmental projects in

Nigerian cities tend to focus on infrastructure without ensuring institutional sustainability. While Ibadan has benefited from short-term improvements, inconsistent policy follow-up undermines the broader goal of achieving resilient urban growth.

Theme 3: Political and Institutional Barriers to Effective Implementation

Another recurrent theme was the influence of political and institutional barriers on policy performance. Respondents emphasized that frequent changes in political leadership disrupt environmental initiatives, as new administrations often alter or abandon existing programs. One NGO representative described this pattern as "a cycle where every new government introduces its own agenda without continuity." In addition, respondents highlighted overlapping roles between state and local agencies as a key source of inefficiency. Urban planners explained that jurisdictional ambiguities between the Oyo State Ministry of Environment, IUFMP, and local government departments often result in duplication of responsibilities. Document review supported this claim, revealing multiple policy directives addressing similar issues with limited coordination mechanisms.

This theme reinforces the argument of Cirolia (2020), who found that governance fragmentation remains a major impediment to urban sustainability in sub-Saharan Africa. Effective environmental policy implementation, therefore, depends not only on policy design but also on political will, institutional stability, and inter-agency collaboration.

Research Question Two: In what ways do institutional and governance mechanisms influence the implementation and enforcement of environmental management policies in Ibadan, Nigeria?

The thematic analysis for this research question drew from responses of senior officials in the Oyo State Ministry of Environment, representatives of the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP), environmental NGOs, and local government administrators. Using Braun and Clarke's (2021) approach, three dominant themes emerged: (1) weak institutional coordination and overlapping mandates, (2) inadequate policy continuity and political interference, and (3) limited community engagement and accountability mechanisms. These themes collectively demonstrate how governance and institutional structures affect

the implementation and enforcement of environmental management policies in Ibadan.

Theme 1: Weak Institutional Coordination and Overlapping Mandates

Respondents consistently reported that institutional fragmentation poses a major obstacle to effective environmental policy implementation in Ibadan. Multiple agencies, including the Ministry of Environment, Oyo State Waste Management Authority (OYOWMA), and local governments, often operate without a unified framework or clear delineation of roles.

A senior environmental officer observed that "different agencies work on similar environmental programs, but with little communication or collaboration. This causes duplication of efforts and wastes resources." Document review of the Oyo State Environmental Policy also revealed that while policy roles are defined at the state level, enforcement largely depends on local governments that often lack the technical capacity and resources to fulfil those responsibilities. This pattern aligns with findings by Amokaye (2012), who emphasized that institutional overlaps and weak coordination are recurring challenges in Nigeria's environmental governance framework. Similarly, Zhao (2015) noted that the absence of integrated institutional planning reduces the overall efficiency of urban management systems.

Consequently, while Ibadan's institutions possess the legal authority to enforce environmental laws, fragmented coordination continues to hinder cohesive policy execution.

Theme 2: Inadequate Policy Continuity and Political Interference

Another key finding was that frequent changes in government administrations lead to disruptions in policy implementation. Respondents revealed that environmental programs are often politically driven and rarely sustained beyond a single administration's tenure. For instance, several participants mentioned the discontinuation or rebranding of waste management initiatives following political transitions.

A former local government administrator remarked that "once a new government comes in, they want to introduce their own environmental agenda to gain political visibility, even if the previous one was working." This observation reflects broader concerns about the politicization of policy continuity in Nigeria's governance system. Supporting literature (Hukkinen, 1998; Dimitrov, 2020) similarly indicates that the absence of long-term institutional memory and overreliance on political leadership undermine the effectiveness of environmental policies. Governance instability, therefore, weakens institutional credibility and limits the potential for sustained progress in environmental management.

Theme 3: Limited Community Engagement and Accountability Mechanisms

Participants also highlighted the limited involvement of local communities in environmental decision-making processes. Despite the presence of structures such as environmental sanitation committees and local monitoring units, these mechanisms are often inactive or symbolic. A community leader from Ibadan South-West noted that "we only hear about new policies on the radio or during campaigns; nobody comes to engage us directly." Document reviews of IUFMP stakeholder engagement reports supported this observation, revealing that while consultation frameworks exist, they are not consistently implemented at the grassroots level. Respondents further emphasized that poor feedback mechanisms and a lack of public accountability reduce the legitimacy of environmental programs, leading to low compliance among residents.

These findings align with Meyer & Auriacombe, (2019) study, which argued that participatory governance is crucial for sustainable urban policy outcomes in African cities. Without active citizen participation, environmental management remains top-down and reactive rather than inclusive and proactive.

Research Question Three: What are the major challenges and opportunities affecting the integration of environmental management policies into urban planning and development processes in Ibadan?

Thematic analysis of interviews with policymakers, planners, community leaders, and NGO representatives, together with documentary evidence, produced five primary challenge themes and four opportunity themes. These themes illuminate the practical barriers to and enabling conditions for the integration of environmental

management policies into Ibadan's urban planning processes.

Challenge 1: Resource Constraints and Unsustained Financing

A dominant challenge identified by participants was chronic underfunding. Respondents from state agencies and local governments repeatedly noted that budgetary allocations for environmental management are inadequate and unpredictable. One senior planning officer observed that many projects depend on donor funding or one-off budget lines, and maintenance budgets are rarely secured. Document review of project reports corroborated that infrastructure (drainage, waste facilities) is often built with external grants but suffers from poor upkeep once grant cycles end. This finding aligns with studies showing that donor-driven infrastructure without sustainable financing undermines long-term urban resilience (Goyal, 2025; Restriono, 2024).

Challenge 2: Weak Enforcement and Legal-Administrative Gaps

Participants described a weak enforcement regime characterized by selective application of regulations, limited sanctions, and slow adjudication of violations. Planning officers and community leaders described situations where illegal encroachment, indiscriminate dumping, and unapproved land conversions persist because enforcement is sporadic or undermined by political patronage. These observations mirror empirical evidence that many Nigerian environmental statutes are robust on paper but weak in practice due to enforcement deficits (Obiora & Bashir, 2025; Ijaiya & Joseph, 2014).

Challenge 3: Rapid Informal Urbanization and Land-Use Pressure

Interviews and spatial policy documents revealed that the rapid growth of informal settlements and ad hoc land conversions creates practical difficulties for integrating environmental policy into planning. Community leaders described how demand for housing pushes development onto floodplains and green buffers, complicating efforts to preserve peri-urban ecology. Remote-sensing and land-use studies in Ibadan similarly document peri-urban green-loss and greater flood vulnerability as a result of unregulated land-use change (Aguilar & Santos, 2011).

Challenge 4: Limited Technical Capacity and Data Gaps

Several respondents from planning departments identified gaps in technical skills (GIS, hydrological modelling, environmental impact assessment) and a lack of reliable environmental data as impediments to evidence-based integration. Without up-to-date spatial data and monitoring systems, planners struggle to operationalize policy prescriptions in land-use zoning and drainage planning. This theme reflects broader critiques that weak data infrastructure undermines adaptive urban governance in many sub-Saharan cities (Vidal Merino et al., 2021).

Challenge 5: Low Public Awareness and Weak Participatory Mechanisms

Community-level participants and NGO representatives consistently reported low levels of sustained public engagement in planning processes. Although awareness campaigns occur intermittently, they often fail to foster meaningful participation in decision-making or ongoing stewardship. As a consequence, local compliance is limited, and policies lack grassroots legitimacy, an outcome documented in other studies of participatory governance in African cities (Mitlin, 2021).

Opportunity 1: Donor-Funded Projects and Multi-Stakeholder Partnerships

Despite financing fragility, participants pointed to donor-funded initiatives (e.g., IUFMP, World Bank support) as important catalysts for policy–planning integration. These projects introduced technical expertise, pilot interventions (drainage upgrades, community outreach), and cross-sector collaborations that created institutional learning opportunities. Interviewees suggested that institutionalizing lessons from these projects can provide blueprints for scalable integration if embedded into local budgets and planning cycles (Fred, 2020).

Opportunity 2: Advances in Geospatial and Digital Tools

Several planning officers and technical staff highlighted growing access to GIS, remote sensing, and mobile data collection as an enabling factor. Where used, geospatial tools improved hazard mapping, identification of illegal developments, and targeting of interventions. Respondents recommended scaling these capacities across LGAs

to improve evidence-based integration of environmental policy into spatial planning, a recommendation consistent with literature advocating digital tools for urban resilience (Qiu et al., 2022).

Opportunity 3: Green Infrastructure and Nature-Based Solutions

Community leaders and some environmental NGOs emphasized the potential of green infrastructure (retention ponds, urban green corridors, peri-urban buffer zones) to reconcile development pressures with ecosystem services. Empirical land-use studies in Ibadan show the value of protecting peri-urban vegetation for flood attenuation and climate regulation (Falegan, 2025). Participants posited that formalizing incentives for green space protection within planning instruments could align policy goals with community benefits.

Opportunity 4: Growing Policy Intent and National-Level Reforms

Interviewees observed that recent national-level efforts, such as moves toward single-use plastics regulation and renewed emphasis on environmental assessment, signal growing policy intent that can support local integration. While enforcement remains a challenge, respondents were cautiously optimistic that national reforms, if paired with capacity-building and fiscal decentralization, could create openings for better alignment between environmental management and urban planning (Krause, 2021).

5.   Discussion of Findings, Conclusion, and Recommendation

This study elucidates the impact of environmental management policies on sustainable urban development in Ibadan, Nigeria. Across the three research topics, it is clear that although Ibadan has many environmental regulations and frameworks, the mechanisms of implementation and enforcement are inconsistent and poorly institutionalised. The following discussion synthesises empirical issues with theoretical frameworks and pertinent academic literature.

The primary finding indicated that despite Ibadan possessing various environmental management policies, including the Oyo State Environmental Policy and the Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project (IUFMP), their implementation is constrained by insufficient institutional capacity, inadequate oversight, and inconsistent funding. These findings corroborate the observations of Abubakar & Aina (2019), who noted that the presence of policies in Nigerian cities seldom results in concrete sustainability outcomes. This signifies a disjunction between policy and practice when goals are not entirely implemented. According to the Sustainable Development Theory (Dernbach, 1998), these disparities indicate an inability to harmonise economic, social, and environmental objectives within governance structures.

The second conclusion indicated that institutional and governance processes substantially affect the efficacy of environmental initiatives. Disjointed institutional coordination, redundant agency mandates, and political meddling surfaced as significant obstacles. Participants indicated that environmental initiatives are frequently discontinued with changes in political administrations, highlighting a deficiency in policy consistency. The findings align with Compagnon et al. (2011) and Asongu & Odhiambo (2021), who highlighted that governance fragmentation and political cycles hinder long-term environmental planning in sub-Saharan Africa. The consequence is that Ibadan's sustainability program cannot progress without adjustments in governance structure and institutional coherence.

The third conclusion revealed both obstacles and potential in the integration of environmental policy within urban planning. Principal restrictions encompassed insufficient funding, data deficiency, proliferation of informal settlements, and feeble participatory frameworks, whereas opportunities arose from donor-backed projects, advancements in geospatial technologies, and escalating national environmental reforms. This dual reality illustrates the transitional era of Ibadan's environmental governance, characterised by the coexistence of innovations and systemic deficiencies. Challies et al. (2017) and Cvitanovic et al. (2016) similarly indicate that the efficacy of environmental policy integration is maximised through institutional learning, stakeholder participation, and evidence-based decision-making.

The findings indicate that sustainable urban growth in Ibadan relies not only on the establishment of policies but also on enhancing governance mechanisms, institutional capacity, and stakeholder

engagement to ensure the effective incorporation of environmental objectives into the city's planning and development frameworks. This supports the Sustainable Development Theory's claim that sustainable advancement necessitates cohesive systems that align policy formulation, execution, and community involvement (Dernbach, 1998).

6.   Conclusion

This study assessed the role of environmental management policies in promoting sustainable urban growth in Ibadan, Nigeria. Using qualitative thematic analysis from semi-structured interviews and document reviews, it found that Ibadan's environmental management landscape reflects a paradox: strong policy intentions but weak practical outcomes.

Environmental policies such as waste management reforms, flood control measures, and urban planning initiatives have achieved modest infrastructural improvements, yet their sustainability remains undermined by enforcement gaps, governance fragmentation, and insufficient stakeholder inclusion. Institutional weaknesses, funding instability, and political discontinuity limit the operationalization of sustainable development goals.

Nevertheless, the findings also highlight that Ibadan possesses significant opportunities for policy integration, particularly through donor-supported infrastructure programs, digital and geospatial technologies, and a growing national commitment to environmental reform. The study concludes that achieving sustainable urban growth in Ibadan requires aligning policy frameworks with governance reforms, ensuring adequate funding, enhancing technical capacity, and promoting community engagement in environmental decision-making.

By applying the Sustainable Development Theory, the study demonstrates that sustainable urban growth can only be realized when environmental management policies are effectively integrated into urban planning systems through coherent governance, long-term institutional commitment, and participatory inclusiveness.

Based on the thematic findings, the following policy recommendations are proposed:

The government of Oyo State should develop a coordinated enforcement framework that ensures environmental policies are implemented beyond the design phase. This can be achieved by empowering regulatory agencies such as OYOWMA and IUFMP with adequate human, technical, and financial resources. Introducing periodic environmental audits and performance-based monitoring systems will enhance accountability and ensure continuous policy enforcement.

To address governance fragmentation and political interference, a central environmental coordination body should be established under the Ministry of Environment to harmonize roles among agencies and ensure continuity of environmental programs across political administrations. Strengthening inter-agency collaboration, clarifying mandates, and adopting legally binding transition frameworks can help sustain policy outcomes and enhance long-term governance stability.

Urban planning in Ibadan should incorporate environmental considerations as a central criterion in land-use zoning, infrastructure design, and development approvals. The government should institutionalize the use of GIS, remote sensing, and environmental impact assessments in planning processes. Furthermore, community engagement platforms should be revitalized to ensure that environmental planning reflects local needs, encourages compliance, and fosters shared ownership of sustainability initiatives.

References

Abubakar, I. R., & Aina, Y. A. (2019). The prospects and challenges of developing more inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable cities in Nigeria. *Land use policy*, *87*, 104105.

Adebayo, W. G. (2025). Resilience in the face of ecological challenges: Strategies for integrating environmental considerations into social policy planning in Africa. *Sustainable Development*, *33*(1), 203-220.

Aguilar, A. G., & Santos, C. (2011). Informal settlements' needs and environmental conservation in Mexico City:

An unsolved challenge for land-use policy. *Land use policy*, *28*(4), 649-662.

Aiyewunmi, T. (2023). *Challenges and potential solutions to pluvial flood risk in urban tropical African communities, a case study using Ijebu-Ode, in South West Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Liverpool).

Alabi, M., Babalola, T., & Popoola, A. (2021). Tenure insecurity and incremental housing development in the peri-urban interface of Ibadan, Nigeria. *Cidades. Comunidades e Territórios*, (43).

Amokaye, O. G. (2012). Environmental pollution and challenges of environmental governance in Nigeria. *British Journal of Arts and Social Sciences*, *10*(1), 203-220.

Asongu, S. A., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2021). Enhancing governance for environmental sustainability in sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, *39*(1), 444-463.

Ayorinde, A. A., Adefioye, A., Oladipo, O., & Fabiyi, O. O. (2023). Flood risk management projects: Financing and project implementation, the case of Ibadan Urban Flood Management Project. In *Handbook of Flood Risk Management in Developing Countries* (pp. 217-228). Routledge.

Babalola, O., Ugah, U. U. K., & Ndimako, O. (2024). Evaluating Governmental Constraints on Construction in Nigeria: A Review.

Ben, V. E. (2023). Population Growth and the Nigerian Environment: Challenges and Opportunities. *Academic practitioners research for sustainable development goals in Africa. IkotEkpene, Nigeria: ICIDR Publishing House*. 2023.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Can I use TA? Should I use TA? Should I not use TA? Comparing reflexive thematic analysis and other pattern-based qualitative analytic approaches. *Counselling and psychotherapy research*, *21*(1), 37-47.

Brundtland, G. H. (1987). Our Common Future World Commission on Environment and Development.

Challies, E., Newig, J., Kochskämper, E., & Jager, N. W. (2017). Governance change and governance learning in Europe: Stakeholder participation in environmental policy implementation. *Policy and Society*, *36*(2), 288-303.

Cirolia, L. R. (2020). Fractured fiscal authority and fragmented infrastructures: Financing sustainable urban development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Habitat International*, *104*, 102233.

Compagnon, D., Floremont, F., & Lamaud, I. (2011). Sub-Saharan Africa: fragmented environmental governance without regional integration. In *Comparative environmental regionalism* (pp. 92-112). Routledge.

Cvitanovic, C., McDonald, J., & Hobday, A. J. (2016). From science to action: principles for undertaking environmental research that enables knowledge exchange and evidence-based decision-making. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *183*, 864-874.

Dano, U. L., Balogun, A. L., Abubakar, I. R., & Aina, Y. A. (2020). Transformative urban governance: Confronting urbanization challenges with geospatial technologies in Lagos, Nigeria. *GeoJournal*, *85*(4), 1039-1056.

Dernbach, J. C. (1998). Sustainable development as a framework for national governance. *Case W. Res. L. Rev.*, *49*, 1.

Dimitrov, R. S. (2020). Empty institutions in global environmental politics. *International Studies Review*, *22*(3), 626-650.

Dodgson, J. E. (2017). About research: Qualitative methodologies. *Journal of Human Lactation*, *33*(2), 355-358.

Echendu, A. J. (2023). Flooding and waste disposal practices of urban residents in Nigeria. *GeoHazards*, *4*(4), 350-366.

Falegan, A. V. (2025). Insights to Peri-Urban Dynamics' Effects on Water Bodies in the Fringe Settlements in Ibadan, Nigeria.

Fred, M. (2020). Local government projectification in practice–a multiple institutional logic perspective. *Local Government Studies*, *46*(3), 351-370.

Galadima, M. (2021). *Assessment of the relationship between urban blight and housing quality in selected areas of Minna* (Doctoral dissertation).

Gómez-Villarino, M. T., Gómez Villarino, M., & Ruiz-Garcia, L. (2020). Implementation of urban green infrastructures in peri-urban areas: a case study of climate change mitigation in Madrid. *Agronomy*, *11*(1), 31.

Goyal, S. (2025). *Financing Inclusive Resilience: Beyond the Economics of Infrastructure in Accra, Ghana* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

Hariram, N. P., Mekha, K. B., Suganthan, V., & Sudhakar, K. (2023). Sustainalism: An integrated socio-economic-environmental model to address sustainable development and sustainability. *Sustainability*, *15*(13), 10682.

Hukkinen, J. (1998). Institutions, environmental management, and long-term ecological sustenance. *Ambio*, 112-117.

Ijaiya, H., & Joseph, O. T. (2014). Rethinking environmental law enforcement in Nigeria. *Beijing L. Rev.*, *5*, 306.

Ionescu, G. H., Firoiu, D., Manda, A. M., Pîrvu, R., Jianu, E., & Antoniu, M. E. (2024). Progress towards the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals for EU urban communities (SDG11). *Sustainability*, *16*(11), 4513.

Kosoe, E. A., & Ogwu, M. C. (2025). Sustainable Urban Planning and Environmental Management. In *Evaluating Environmental Processes and Technologies* (pp. 463-485). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Krause, R. M. (2021). Why are we doing this? Issue framing, problem proximity, and cities' rationale for regulating single-use plastics. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, *23*(4), 482-495.

Lawal, I. A., Achi, C. G., & Coker, A. O. (2025). Assessment of Refuse Dumpsite Impact on Groundwater Quality: A Case Study from Awotan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *Science, Engineering and Technology*, *5*(1), 286-303.

Lee, T. C., Anser, M. K., Nassani, A. A., Haffar, M., Zaman, K., & Abro, M. M. Q. (2021). Managing natural resources through sustainable environmental actions: a cross-sectional study of 138 countries. *Sustainability*, *13*(22), 12475.

Lubogo, I. C. (2024). Plastics Pollution and Environmental Garbage Law Governance in Uganda: A Case for Sustainable Progress.

Marrie, H. (2023). Meta-Methodological Assumptions in Digital Communication: A Cohesive Andragogical Research Competence. *Journal of Media and Interdisciplinary Studies*, *2*(6).

McNeill, D., & Wilhite, H. (2014). Making sense of sustainable development in a changing world. In *Emerging Economies and Challenges to Sustainability* (pp. 34-50). Routledge.

Meyer, N., & Auriacombe, C. (2019). Good urban governance and city resilience: An Afrocentric approach to sustainable development. *Sustainability*, *11*(19), 5514.

Mitlin, D. (2021). Citizen participation in planning: from the neighbourhood to the city. *Environment and Urbanization*, *33*(2), 295-309.

Nathaniel, S. P., Nwulu, N., & Bekun, F. (2021). Natural resources, globalization, urbanization, human capital, and environmental degradation in Latin American and Caribbean countries. *Environmental science and pollution research*, *28*(5), 6207-6221.

Obiora, O. C., & Bashir, A. A. (2025). Environmental Law Enforcement in Nigeria: Challenges, Proposed Reforms, and Notable Achievements. *Environmental Behaviours and Sustainability: Exploring the Nigerian Experience*, 92.

Ogunbode, T. O., Oyebamiji, V. O., Sanni, D. O., Akinwale, E. O., & Akinluyi, F. O. (2025). Environmental impacts of urban growth and land use changes in tropical cities. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, *6*, 1481932.

Ogunkan, D. V. (2022). Achieving sustainable environmental governance in Nigeria: A review for policy consideration. *Urban Governance*, *2*(1), 212-220.

Olusegun, A. T. (2023). *Challenges and Potential Solutions to Pluvial Flood Risk in Urban Tropical African Communities A Case Study Using Ijebu-Ode in South West Nigeria* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Liverpool (United Kingdom)).

Oyeranmi, S. (2023). *Environmental management and sustainable development of cities: a case study of Ibadan, Nigeria*. Ethics International Press.

Qiu, D., Lv, B., & Chan, C. M. (2022). How digital platforms enhance urban resilience. *Sustainability*, *14*(3), 1285.

Restriono, W. (2024). *Comparison of Post-Disaster Infrastructure Reconstruction Between Donor-Driven and Community-Based Approach* (Doctoral dissertation, ResearchSpace@ Auckland).

Siddiqua, A., Hahladakis, J. N., & Al-Attiya, W. A. K. (2022). An overview of the environmental pollution and health effects associated with waste landfilling and open dumping. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(39), 58514-58536.

Unegbua, H., Yawasa, D. S., Dan-asabea, B., & Alabia, A. A. (2024). Sustainable urban planning and development: A systematic review of policies and practices in Nigeria. *J. Sustainable Dev. Innovation*, *1*, 38-53.

United Nations Economic Commission for Africa, & African Development Bank. (2022). *West African Studies Africa's Urbanisation Dynamics 2022 The Economic Power of Africa's Cities: The Economic Power of Africa's Cities*. OECD Publishing.

Vidal Merino, M., Gajjar, S. P., Subedi, A., Polgar, A., & Van Den Hoof, C. (2021). Resilient governance regimes that support urban agriculture in Sub-Saharan cities: Learning from local challenges. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *5*, 692167.

Yusoff, M. M. (2020, August). Improving the quality of life for sustainable development. In *IOP conference series: Earth and environmental science* (Vol. 561, No. 1, p. 012020). IOP Publishing.

Zhao, P. (2015). The evolution of the urban planning system in contemporary China: an institutional approach. *International Development Planning Review*, *37*(3), 269-287.