

Ibadan Planning Journal

journal homepage: http://journals.ui.edu.ng/index.php/ipj/issue/view/7



Factors Influencing Gender Differentials in Involvement in Urban Informal Housing Development Process in South-Western Nigeria

Dorcas Oluwaseyi Adeoye¹

Abstract

Housing development is a complex system involving series of linked stages (preparation, production and servicing) and a number of key actors (public, private formal and informal sectors). Existing researches are mostly on housing demand and supply to the neglect of gender differentials in housing development process even when women constitute over 50 per cent of the entire population and dominate the urban informal sector. This study, therefore, examined the underlying factors that enhance participation in housing development processes among the two gender groups (male and female) during such development processes in South-West Nigeria. Cross-sectional survey design was adopted while multistage sampling technique was adopted. A structured questionnaire was randomly administered to 1,090 respondents comprising 794 males and 296 females. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, factor analysis and t-test to show the factors that influence both male and female in participating in housing development process and possible significant difference between male and female involvement in housing development processes. Males constituted 72.8% of respondents; 84% earned above N18, 000.00 per month. The results also revealed that 'Cultural and Religious factors (26.072%, Family Obligations and Responsibilities (22.678%), and Economic factors (21.362%) among others are the major factors influencing the involvement of both male and female in urban informal housing development. There is significant difference between male and female involvement in housing development process as men participated more in South-western Nigeria. Strategies were proposed to enhance participation of both males and females in informal housing development process in the region.

Keywords

Gender, housing development, urban informal housing, southwestern Nigeria

Article History

Received 16 June 2018 Accepted 6 July 2019 Published online February 15, 2020

Contact

Dorcas Oluwaseyi Adeoye dorcasolu2010@gmail.com

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

1. Introduction

Housing development is a complex system involving series of linked stages (preparation, production, acquisition, servicing and maintenance) including a number of key actors (public, private formal and informal sectors). Housing constitutes the most basic human need after feeding and clothing. It is required to sustain human livelihood and existence. The provision of housing enhances the welfare, dignity and productivity of persons. The United Nations (UN, 1972) denotes housing as an inalienable right of every individual; hence every citizen of a country is entitled to housing without any hindrance whatsoever. Olotuah, (2008) posited that housing is one of man's important needs and it

is an essential requirement for his existence. He further maintained that adequate housing enhances the welfare and the productivity of man, and conversely its inadequacy threatens the very basis of man's existence (Olotuah, 1997a; 2000a). The place of housing in man's life, according to Olotuah (2002), is therefore eminent, necessitating its adequate provision in quantitative and qualitative terms. The Federal Government of Nigeria's (FGN, 2004) report shows that about 60 per cent of Nigerians are homeless although, there were about 10.7 million houses in Nigeria by 2007 (Federal Mortgage Bank-FMB, 2007). Igbinoba, (2009) maintained that housing backlog is estimated at 14

million units. Similarly, Pepple, (2012) noted that the current housing deficit in Nigeria is 17.5 million units.

Gender plays a significant role in the development of housing in Nigeria. As observed by Ajayi (2000) and Lawanson (2007), all aspects of human life are shaped by gender while gender roles and relations have largely assisted in shaping the process of urbanisation. The United Nations Commission on the Status of Women (UNCSW) (1994)observed that the gender-sensitive approaches to sustainable development should be integral to urban policy, programming and practice. This is germane because the introduction of interventions that can increase the involvement of individuals in housing development in the rapidlygrowing cities of Nigeria is needed to reinforce more participation in housing development in order to bridge the current housing deficit. All aspects of lives of people in any society, according to Ajayi (2000), are shaped by gender. Lawanson, (2007) further posited that gender roles and relations, to a large extent, has assisted in shaping the process of urbanization. She further emphasized that in almost all societies, women and men differ in their activities and undertakings, regarding access to and control over resource, and participating in decision making. Fajemiroku (2004) posited that it is evident that the National Housing Policy of 1991 does not include any gender perspective. He also observed that the principal instruments on housing are devoid of gender references and that advancing a gender perspective in relation to housing must take into account existing legal protections on gender equality rather than specific housing rights provisions. Thus, the gender-sensitive approaches to sustainable development should be an integral part of urban policy, programming and practice (UNCSW, 1994). There appears to be a general perception or belief that access to adequate housing should not be approached from a gender rights perspective. Secondly, gender mainstreaming has not really been practiced in the development and implementation of relevant policies, laws, budgets and programmes such as the National and States' Housing Programmes.

It is worthwhile to examine the gender perspective of housing development and factors that influence the participation of both male and female in housing development processes. This is important because as rightly observed by United Nation's Centre on Human Settlement -UNCHS, (1996), ignoring gender divisions and interest in urban studies (housing studies inclusive) is neglecting an important structuring element of urban space and urban processes. This study therefore, analysed the gender perspective of factors influencing the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes.

2. Conceptual/Theoretical Issues and Literature Review

2.1 Conceptualization

Feminist theories as advocated and popularized by Aristotle (1952), Millet, (1969), Firestone (1971), Kanter, (1977), Mary Daly (1978), Hartman, (1981), Kolawole, (1989), Dixon (1993), Udumkwu (1994), Uroh, 1997), Tong (1998), Asiyanbola (2005), Pamella et al. (2005), Aliyu (2006) and Haralambos et al. (2008), among others, are used to anchor this study. Key issues in these feminist theories centre on women being generally perceived as being weaker and inferior to men; that this perception promotes general tendencies to deprive women some benefits, especially in relation to ownership and having tangible contributions to housing development. Investigating the extent to which these general perceptions of these feminist theories are true in Southwestern Nigeria is the main motive behind this study.

2.2 Literature Review

Provision of adequate, decent housing accommodation for the citizens, has been described as a most intractable problem of the third world nations, including Nigeria (Agarwal, 1981). The World Health Organization (1961) defined housing as a residential environment that includes the physical structure that man uses for shelter, all necessary services, facilities, equipment and devices needed or desired for the physical and mental health and social well-being of the family and individual. Olotuah (2008) described housing as one of man's important needs and it is an essential requirement for his existence. Housing studies in developing countries have been in existence for long, and it is interesting to note that there has been increase interest in gender studies in housing development within the past two decades. Studies by have demonstrated that women are actively involved in housing construction, though

mostly in form of casual labour and providing food at construction sites. Agbola (1990a; and 1993), Mascarenhas (1999) and Olatubara, (2007) have demonstrated that women are actively involved in housing construction and highlighted their contributions in housing construction sites as mostly labour in production of building materials and fittings which include bricks, concrete blocks, and tiles, roofing sheets, wash-hand basins and water pumps. Other roles of women include serving as crisis managers (Schmink, 1984), playing monitoring role during construction to prevent theft (UNCHS,1985; Agbola, 1990a), being actively involved in housing finance especially if she has offspring for the man (Agbola, 1990a) and being physically involved in actual construction as observed in professional women in the built environment.

In devising new housing solutions and policies, Agbola (1990b); Moser (1992, 1993), Wood (1993); Young (1995) and Pascal (1997) observed that there have been age-long assumptions which do not fit the reality of women's life in developing countries. The first assumption holds that the household consists of a nuclear family of husband, wife and two or three children. This assumption fails to recognise that low-income households are not homogenous in terms of family structure. Although nuclear families may be the dominant type, a diversity of other structures may occur. For instance, the changing social conditions, which disrupt traditional patterns of family and kinship, have increased the number of female-headed households. Here, the male partner is absent, either temporarily because of migratory or permanently because of abandonment, divorce or death. Moser (1992) and Chant (1997) observed that an estimate of one-third of the households is now headed by women. With the frequent retrenchment, collapse of financial institution and brain-drain syndrome, there are strong indications that such households have increased in Nigeria (Siyanbola, 1995). In such households, women shoulder almost all, if not all, the responsibilities of a male household head.

It is also assumed that in the family, there is a clear division of labour in which the man of the family, as the breadwinner, is primarily involved in productive work outside the home while the woman, as the housewife, takes overall responsibility for the reproductive and domestic

work involved. This second assumption fails to recognise that women in low-income households perform "triple roles" (Moser, 1992, 1993; Brett, 1991 and Young 1995). First, women's work includes reproductive role incorporating childbearing and rearing. Second, it includes productive tasks, often as secondary income earners, located within the home or in informal sector enterprises. Third, it is increasingly expanding to include community-managing operations, and organisational jobs undertaken the neighbourhood level. Notably, unlike men, women are severely constrained by the burden of simultaneously balancing these three roles. Their reproductive and community-managing works are often seen as natural or non-productive, not valued as work.

Ignoring gender divisions and interest in urban studies (housing studies inclusive) is neglecting an important structuring element of urban space and urban processes (UNCHS, 1996). Little or no importance is attached to the role, the specific requirements, attitudes and values of women with respect to both the dwelling and its environment. Researches on issues related to women and issues of housing had suggested that there is need for recognition of women's contribution to housing development, establishment of ways and means of enhancing their potential and identification of specific gender problems with respect to all aspect of housing development. They also suggested that there should be policy crusade for equity, equality, social justice and the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women.

3. Methodology

Both primary and secondary data were used for this **Primary** data collection involved administration of a set of structured questionnaire to the household-head (women and men) in the selected owner-occupied residential buildings. A multistage-stage sampling procedure was adopted for the study. The six state capitals in Southwestern Nigeria (Ikeja, Abeokuta, Ibadan, Osogbo, Akure and Ado-Ekiti) were purposively selected because they are primate cities. All the localities in each capital city were identified and three localities were randomly selected. Ten percent of all the roads in the selected localities in each state capital were randomly selected. All residential buildings

(21,800) facing the selected road networks were enumerated and 1,090 (5%) of these buildings were randomly selected for the study. A set of structured questionnaire focusing on socio-economic characteristics (sex, age, income among others) and factors influencing both male and female involvement in housing development processes was administered to household heads in these buildings. A total of 1090 household heads comprising 794 males and 296 females were sampled. Purposive sampling method was used to conduct in-depth interviews on a cross-section of the household heads in order to share their experiences during housing development processes. Descriptive and inferential statistics were used in analysing quantitative data collected for the study, while qualitative data were content analysed.

4. Findings and Discussion

Investigations on socio-economic characteristics of respondents revealed that 794 (72.8%) were male and 296 (27.2%) female. Majority [826 (75.6%)] comprising 636 (81.6%) male and 190 (65.5%) female were aged more than 35 years. The study also revealed that more male [103 (71.0%)] than female [42 (29.0%)] earned monthly income between ¥18,000 and ¥50,000 and more males [193 (76.0%)] than females [61 (24.0%)] earned between \(\mathbb{N}\)50,000 and \(\mathbb{N}\)200,000; 676 (85.1%) males and 192 (64.9%) females were married and do engage in housing development processes; 631 (57.9%) comprising 483 (60.8%) male and 148 (50.0%)female respondents belonged households of between 4 and 6 persons (See Table 1).

4.1 Gender Variations in Level of Agreement on Factors that Influence Involvement in Housing Development

To have a better understanding of factors that influenced respondents' involvement in housing development, a 5-point Likert Scale was developed to measure respondents' perception based on some propositions on social, cultural and economic factors that have been established in the literature that might influence gender variation in men and women's involvement in housing development. Respondents were instructed to indicate their level of agreement with each of the identified eighteen propositions, selecting from 'Strongly Agreed'

(S.A), 5 Points; 'Agreed' (A), 4 Points; 'Undecided' (U) 3 Points; 'Disagreed' (D), 2 Points; and 'Strongly Disagreed' (SD), 1 Point. To obtain Summation of Weighted Value (SWV), there was need to sum up the product of the total numbers of responses to each variables and the weight attached to each ratings, i.e. (ax5) + (bx4) + (cx3) + (dx2) + (ex1). The mean used in the course of computation was also obtained by summing up the SWV and dividing it with the total number of variables (n = 18).

The deviation (which is also used as GLA) and standard deviation were also calculated to be able to establish the level of agreement on factors dictating involvement of both men and women in housing development. From this calculation, a positive deviation indicates a high level of agreement, and when the deviation is negative, it depicts a low level of agreement.

Note:

NR (f) = number of respondents (no of copies of questionnaire)

SWV = Summation of Weighted Value

$$\bar{x} = Mean = \frac{\sum \text{SWV/NR (f)}}{\text{No. of Variables}}$$
 $D = \text{Deviation (Adequacy Index)} = \text{SWV/}$

NR (f) \bar{x} d² = Standard deviation

Respondents' scores on each of the propositions were scored to obtain the Gender's Level of Agreement (GLA) with each of the propositions. Scores obtained for the various propositions are presented in Table 2.

The deviation (which is also used as GLA) and standard deviation were also calculated to be able to establish the level of agreement on factors dictating involvement of both men and women in housing development. From this calculation, a positive deviation indicates a high level of agreement, and when the deviation is negative, it depicts a low level of agreement.

The analysis revealed that eight (8) of the eighteen (18) aspects of the propositions for male respondents had positive deviation about GLA. These aspects were that: registration of building title as jointly owned by both of us has helped in the house completion (0.43); I wish my spouse is involved in the decision of my choice of housing development (0.31); religion is a significant factor for my involvement in housing development (0.3);

increase in income has increased the speed of my housing development (0.2); my extended family members do not have a share in the ownership of my property including this house (0.18), my family size has great influence in my housing finance and rate of development (0.10); the education of my children have influence in my housing development (0.03); and, family type has great influence in my involvement in housing development (0.04); As it could be observed in Table 2, 'registration of building title as jointly owned by both of us has helped in the house completion' has the highest positive agreement index of 0.43. This implied that registration of building title as jointly owned by both spouses has great influence on the decision of male respondents in their decision on being involved in housing development. Next in importance was 'I wish my spouse is involved in the decision of my choice of housing development' with agreement index of 0.31. This was followed closely by the proposition: "religion is a significant factor for my involvement in housing development" with an agreement index of 0.30. The criterion with the highest deviation about the mean index for male respondents is 'I believe my wife and children can inherit my property' with -0.44 agreement index, implied that inheritance of property by children or spouse has the least influence in involvement in decision to be involved in housing development. This was followed, in increasing order, by 'I believe my wife/husband has what belongs to me' having agreement index of -0.36; followed by, 'existing male culture has great influence on my involvement in housing development process' having a gender agreement index of -0.31. The proposition which has the highest negative agreement index for female respondents states that 'I can allow husband/wife to have house of his own' with agreement index of -0.49. This has the least influence on involvement in housing development processes of the respondents, and was followed by 'existing male culture is a great influence on my involvement in housing development' agreement index of -0.38. Other propositions in this category include: 'I believe my spouse owns what belongs to me' with agreement index of -0.31 and 'having high financial backup enhances my involvement in housing development processes' with agreement index of -0.26.

It can be inferred from the above analysis that 'registration of building title as jointly owned by both spouse aids in the completion of the building' (0.61) and 'religion'(0.34); are the two major factors that influence involvement of both male and female respondents in housing development processes. This corroborates the findings of Larson(1991); Macaloo (1990), Ntege (1992) and Obbo (1976 &1984) had also identified a series of factors influencing participation in informal urban housing development in urban housing development in particular to include economic, socio-cultural and political environments.

Those propositions with negative agreement indexes implied that these could have influence on people's decision to participate in housing development processes too but their impact may have less influence to pronounce much effect on decision making.

The study also employed factor analysis to identify the major factors influencing the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes. The extraction method used was Principal Component Analysis. According to Tabachnick and Fidell (1996); they suggested that variables with loadings of 0.32 and above may be interpreted. In other studies where factor analysis has been applied 0.32 and 0.55 were used as cut-off points. This study therefore used 0.55 which is considered to be good as it has 30% overlapping variance (Tabachnick and Fidell, 1996). Eighteen variables which are closely related to factors influencing the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes in southwest Nigeria were loaded for analysis.

As presented in Table 3, four factors had significant influence on the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes. It should be noted that variables loaded on factor 18 do not have up to four variables with high loadings as such was expunged and not interpreted (Velicer and Fava, 1998). Displayed in Table 3 were variables and their codes. The communalities of all the variables as presented in Table 3 were above 0.55. Having said this; the entire 18 variables were then reduced to four factors which accounted for 80.83% of the entire 18 variables initially loaded.

Factor 1 has eight variables loaded on it. It is named *Cultural and Religious factors*. These are factors relating to social or spiritual beliefs that

influence the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes. They are arranged in order of priority and they are as follows: 'I believe my family members will not take possession of this house after my demise' (0.942); 'my extended family members do not have a share in the ownership of my property' (0.914); 'existing male culture is a great influence on my involvement in housing development' (0.914); 'I believe my husband/wife owns what belongs to me' (0.778); 'I believe that my wife/ husband and children can inherit my property' (0.733); 'Conflict of interests in gender roles is a significant factor in housing development involvement' (0.723); 'I can allow my spouse (wife) to have a house of her own' (0.707); and 'Religion is a significant factor for my involvement in housing development' (0.607). All these accounted for 26.072% of the total variance explained on factors that influence both gender involvements in housing development processes (Table 3).

Five variables were loaded as factor 2 called Family obligations and responsibilities. This is because factors loaded here explain what happens when family responsibilities of an individual influences (increase or decrease) the individual involvement in housing development processes. The variables in this factor were: 'The education of my children has influence in my housing development finance' (0.925); 'training of my children has great influence in the rate of my housing development' (0.892); 'family type has great influence in my involvement in housing development' (0.889); 'my family size has great influence on my housing finance and rate of development' (0.772); and 'wish my spouse is involved in the decision of my choice of housing development' (0.754). Table 2 showed that the total variance explained by these factors was 22.678%. Cumulatively, this accounted for 48.749%.

Factor 3 had four variables loaded on it. The variables were: 'I like planning/ spending my income together with my spouse' (0.845); 'increase in income has increased the speed of my housing development completion' (0.838); my wife/ husband is fully aware of my income' (0.825) and 'having high financial backup enhances my level of involvement in housing development' (0.791). The variables loading connote *Economic Factors*. This is because the variable loaded here explained the

spending pattern and influence of finance on involvement of an individual in housing development processes. The total variance explained by this factor was 21.362. Cumulatively, these three factors accounted for 70.11% (See Table 4).

A typical illustration of how culture affects women in participating in housing development processes is presented by a 45-year-old widow during the interview session thus:

I am a 45 years old widow with four kids aged between 5 and 14. I am wholly responsible for providing meals, education and shelter for the family. The house my husband started was not completed before his death and my in-laws came to ask me to sell the building off in order to offset some of my husband's outstanding debts during his lifetime. I had a meeting with my children and we decided not to sell it off. I have been having issues with my in-laws ever since. The house rent in this area has increased and I couldn't afford it, hence, our decision to move into this building. The house is still not completed and none of my husband's family came to our aid. The children's school fees are gulping all the income of the family. This has really affected my ability to complete the project. However, I'm so happy that paying housing rent is no longer part of my headache.

Another peculiar case is that of a man who had the title to the land in his wife's name. He justified his decision thus:

I have only one wife. The challenges I faced during the early period of my marriage taught me great lessons. It taught me to register the title of all my capital projects in the name of my spouse. I believe I'm doing it for her and our children. I don't want problem from my family in case I die today. Registering the building title document in her name will give her right of ownership after my demise. I believe she can take care of our children more than any of my relations.

A hypothesis that there is no significant difference between male and female involvement in housing development was tested using t-test at 0.05 level of significance. The result is presented in Table 6. In this study, the level of significance is 0.039 (less than 0.05), so we used the second row of t-test results. However, with t obtained of -0.1929 and with 554.224 degrees of freedom (df = n-2), it is significant at the P<0.05 = 0.005. Thus, we concluded that there were significant differences in

male and female involvement in housing development.

It was observed however that the male respondents involved in housing development at an average of 0.05693996 higher (larger) than their female counterparts.

Against this backdrop, the hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference between male and female involvement in housing development is rejected. This was most probably reflected in the economic differences between the male and female heads, especially since male heads in the study area had better-paying jobs (see Tables 1 and 6) than female heads.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study analysed the factors influencing men and women involvement in Housing development process in Southwest Nigeria. It reveals that increase in income has greater influence in the housing development processes of both male and female while joint registration of building title as jointly owned by both spouses has helped in the completion of housing units. These were the two major factors that influence involvement of both male and female respondents in housing development processes. The study also revealed

that among the four factors that have significant influence on the involvement of both men and women in housing development processes viz a viz: cultural and religious factors, family obligations and responsibilities and economic factors are the major factors that has greater influence on both men and women in their involvement in housing development processes in that order. It can be inferred based on the result that regardless of gender (male and female), the respondents could be influenced by cultural belief or religious backgrounds, economic strength and family obligations and responsibilities/ obligations which can influence the speed of completion of a housing project. The study established that male participated more in housing development due to socio-cultural and religious belief system among the people, especially the believe that housing development is strictly a male obligation to the family. There is need for the provision of institutional supports with mortgage banks, cooperatives societies and estate financiers in the distribution of loans (with low interest rates) to persons of middle, low income group and others who may need loan assistance in the construction of their houses.

References

- Agarwal A. (1981). Mud, mud, the potential of Earth-based materials for third world housing: *Earthscan* Washington D.C.
- Agbola T. (1990a). The roles of women in Housing Development. Social Sciences of Nigeria and University of Ibadan, Senate Research Grant. p117.
- Agbola T. (1993). The enduring typology of women as 'drawer of water: Case study of Nigerian women on housing construction sites. *Research for Development* 9(1&2): 32-44.
- Ajayi M.O. (2000) "Appraising Gender participation in Housing Production and Management in Akinbamijo O.B, Fawehinmi A.S, Ogunsemi D.R and Olotuah A (eds.) Effective Housing in the 21st Century Nigeria pp. 215-219.
- Aliyu, N. (2006). Patriarchy: How Women Are Devalued From The Beginning. *Ijagu Journal of Social and Management Sciences* 1(1): 7 – 17.
- Aristotle (1952). Great Books of the Western World. Encyclopedia Britannica Inc. ISBN 085229-531-6 Pp109-996
- Asiyanbola, A. (2005). Patriarchy, Male Dominance: The Role and Women Empowerment in Nigeria. Paper presented as poster at the International Union for the Scientific Study of Population.
- Brett, A. (1991). "Why Gender is a Development Issue?" In Tina Wallace and Candida March (eds.) *Changing*

- perceptions: Working on Gender and Development. Oxfam, Oxford.
- Dixon Mueller, R. (1993). *Population Policy and Women's Rights: Transforming Reproductive Choice*. West Port, Conn: Praeger, p 206.
- Fajemirokun, B. (2004). Gender Perspective in access to adequate Housing in Nigeria. Adequate and Affordable Housing for All. Research Policy Practice. A Paper delivered at the International Conference held in Toronto Centre for Urban and Community Studies. June 24-27, 2004.
- Federal Mortgage Bank of Nigeria FMBN, (2007).
- Firestone .S. (1971). *The Dietetic Of Sex.* Bantam, New York, Toronto & London.
- Haralambos.M. and Holborns, M.M. (2008). *Sociology, Themes and Perspectives*. Harpercollins Publishers Limited London.
- Hartmann, H. 1981. "The unhappy marriage of Marxism and feminism: Towards a more progressive union; in Sargent L (ed), "Women and Revolution", Pluto press, London.
- Kanter.R.M. 1977. Men and Women of the cooperation. New York: Basic Books.
- Kolawole, M.E. 1999. (ed.) Gender perceptions and Development in Africa. Lagos. Arabon Academic Publishers p7.

- Igbinoba R. 2009. Real Foundation for Housing and Urban Development (RIRFHUD) The state of Lagos Housing Market, Vol 4, Nigeria.
- Lawanson, T. O. 2007. Gender differentials in Nigeria: Implications for Sustainable Urban Development. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, University of Jos. Vol. II (1) pp36-70.
- Mascavenhas.A. (1996). Gender, Boidiversity and Local Knowledge System to Strength Agricultural and Rural development: The Tanzanian Context. *Institute of Resource Assessment University of Dar-es Salaam.* Links Report, No2, February, 2000.
- Millet .K. (1969). Sexual Politics. London Virago.
- Moser, C.O.N. (1992). "Housing" in Ostergard Lise (ed.)

 Gender and Development: A Practical Guide
 Routledge, London.
- Moser, C.O.N. (1993). *Gender, Planning and development: Theory Practice and Training* Routeldge, London.
- Olatubara, C.O. (2007). Fundamentals of Housing in Tunde Agbola, Layi Egunjobi and C.O. Olatubara (Ed.) Housing Development and Management; A Book of Readings, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria pp. 70-106
- Olotuah, A.O. (1997a). Housing low-income Civil Servants in an Emergent State Capital: The Case Study of Ado Ekiti. *Unpublished Ph.D. Architecture Thesis*, FUTA.
- Olotuah A.O. (2000a). "Towards meeting low-income earners Housing needs in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria" *Journal of the Nigerian Institute of Town Planners* XV: 15-24
- Olotuah, A.O. (2005). Urbanization, Urban Poverty and Housing Inadequacy. Proceedings of Africa Union of Architects Congress, 23-28 May, Abuja, Nigeria pp.185-199
- Olotuah A.O. and Ajayi M.A. (2008). "Repositioning women in Housing Development" *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, SAGE Publications, Los Angeles/London/New Delhi/Singapore 15(1): 101-113.
- Oriye O; Owoeye, O. and Weje I.I. (2012). Gender Equity in Housing Delivery: A panacea to Adequate Housing Supply in Nigeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 3(11): 437-445.
- Pamella, A. and Beverly C. Eds. (2003). *Feminist Philosophy of Religion: Critical Readings*, Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- Pascal Gillian. (1997). Social Policy: A New Feminism Analysis. Routledge, London.

- Pepple, A.I. (2012). Nigeria: Progress on Improving the Lives of Slum-Dwellers over the Decade 2000-2010.

 An International Conference on Making Slums Historya Worldwide Challenge for 2020, Rabat, Morocco 26th 29th November 2012 pp. 288 294.
- Siyanbola, A.T. (1995). Participation of Women in Agricultural and Rural Development, *Unpublished Thesis*, O.A.U. Ile Ife.
- Schmink, M. (1984). The "Working Group" Approach to Women and Urban Services, Gainesville, centre for Latin American Studies, University of Florida.
- Tabachnick B.G. and Fidell L.S. (2001). Using Multivariate Statististics. Allyn and Bacon A. Pearson Education Company Boston, U.S.A PG.996.
- Tong. R. (1998). Feminist Thought: A more Comprehensive Introduction. Avalon Publishers.
- Udumukwu, O. (1994). "Post-Colonial Feminism; Zaynab Alkali's the still Born". *The Literary Griot* Vol 6 No 1 Pp 101-106.
- Uroh, C. (1997). Gender Relation and Grassroot Politics".
 Paper presented at a workshop on Local Government in a period of transition, held at Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso. pp. 1-10
- United Nations. (1972). *Housing in Africa*. United Nations Publication (E/CN/14 House /7/Rev), pg. 2.
- United Nations Centres for Human Settlement –UNCHS (1985). *The Role of Women in the Execution of Low Income Housing Project*, Nairobi, HABITAT.
- United Nations Centres for Human Settlement UNCHS (1996). An Urban Order: Global Report on Human Settlements United Nations Centres for Human Settlement (HABITAT) Oxford University Press.
- United Nations Commission on the Status of Women UNCSW (1994). Development: Women in Urban Areas: Population, Nutrition and Health Factors for Women in Development .www.Un.org.
- Velicer W.F.and Fava J.L. (1998). Effect of Variable and Subject Sampling on Factor Pattern Recovery. Psychological Method, 3: 231-251.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (1961). Expert committee on the public aspect of Housing. *WHO Technical Report Series* No 225, Geneva, WHO.
- Woods R. (1994). 'Introduction' In Gilroy Rose and Woods Roberta (eds.). *Housing Women*, Routledge, London.
- Young K. (1995). Planning development with women: making a world of difference. Macmillan London.

Table 1: Socio-economic Characteristics

Variables		Freq.	(%)				
Gender	Male	794	72.8				
	Female	296	27.2				
	Total	1090	100.0				
		Male		Female		Total	
Age		Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%
(in Years)	19-35	158	19.9	102	34.5	260	23.9
	36-45	184	23.3	72	24.3	256	23.5
	46-55	207	26.1	58	19.6	265	24.3
	56-65	144	18.1	32	10.8	176	16.1
	66 and above	101	12.7	32	10.8	133	12.2
	Total	794	100	296	100	1090	100
Monthly	< 18000.00	76	9.6	65	22.0	141	12.9
Income	18000- 50000	103	13.0	42	14.2	145	13.3
(in Naira)	50001-200000	193	24.3	61	20.6	254	23.3
	200001-500000	271	34.1	106	35.8	377	34.6
	>500000.00	151	19.0	22	7.4	173	15.9
	Total	794	100	296	100	1090	100

Marital	Married	676	85.1	192	64.9	868	79.6
Status	Single	94	11.8	40	13.5	134	12.3
	Widowed	14	1.8	48	16.2	62	5.7
	Divorced	3	0.4	2	0.7	5	0.5
	Single parent	5	0.6	7	2.4	12	1.1
	Separated	2	0.3	7	2.4	9	0.8
	Total	794	100	296	100	1090	100
Household	1-3	148	18.6	63	21.3	211	19.4
size	4-6	483	60.8	148	50.0	631	57.9
(Persons)	7-9	115	14.5	61	20.6	176	16.2
	≥ 10	48	6.1	24	8.1	72	6.6
	Total	794	100	296	100	1090	100

Source: Field Survey, 2017

Table 2: Genders' Level of Agreement (GLA) for factor dictating involvement in housing development (Southwest Nigeria)

	Propositions		Respondents Level of agreement										
S/NO		No	SA	A	U	D	SD	SWV	SWV/N	х	<i>x-x</i> (D)	$(x-x) = (D^2)$	
Male													
1	Existing male culture is a great influence of my involvement in housing development	794	412	227	20	109	26	3272	4.12		-0.18	0.034	
2.	I believe my husband/ wife owns what belongs to me	794	397	251	20	126	-	3301	4.15		-0.21	0.0441	
3.	I believe my husband/ wife and my children can inherit my property	794	445	276	46	27	-	3521	4.43		-0.49	0.0401	
4	My extended family do not have share in the ownership of my property	794	272	347	48	02	35	2931	3.69		0.25	0.0625	
5	I believe my extended family members will not take procession of this house after my demise	794	354	272	49	28	91	3152	3.97		-0.03	0.0009	
6.	My wife/ husband is fully aware of my income	794	322	264	81	127	-	3163	3.98		-0.04	0.0016	
7.	I like planning and spending my income with my spouse	794	334	285	99	76	-	3259	4.11		-0.17	0.0289	
8.	I can allow my spouse to have a house of her own	794	428	281	-	76	9	3425	4.31	3.94	-0.37	0.1369	
9.	Conflict of interest in gender roles is a significant factor in housing development	794	212	509	-	47	26	3216	4.05		-0.11	0.0121	
10.	Having high financial backup enhances my level of involvement in housing development	794	263	354	68	92	17	3136	3.95		-0.01	0.0001	
11.	My family size has great influence in my housing finance and rate of development	794	290	278	84	98	44	3024	3.81		0.13	0.0169	
12.	The education of my children have influence in my housing development	794	341	234	101	101	17	3163	3.98		-0.04	0.0016	
13.	Training of my children has great influence in the rate of my housing development	794	340	340	70	-	44	3314	4.17		-0.23	0.0529	
14.	Increase in income has increased the speed of my housing development	794	293	275	135	65	26	3126	3.94		0.00	0.0000	
15.	Religion is a significant factor for my involvement in housing development	794	113	320	24	320	17	2574	3.24		0.7	0.49	
16.	Family type has great influence in my involvement in housing development	794	192	407	49	146	-	3027	3.81		-0.13	0.0169	
17.	I wish my spouse is involved in the decision of my choice of housing development	794	236	280	121	121	36	2941	3.70		0.24	0.0576	
18.	Registration of building title as jointly owned by both of us has helped in the house completion	794	185	290	117	158	44	2796	3.52		0.42	0.1764	
	Total								70.93			1.0553	

Table 2: Genders' Level of Agreement (GLA) for factor dictating involvement in housing development

(Southwest Nigeria) Cont'd

	(Southwest Nigeria) Cont'd											
	Propositions											
S/No			SA	A	U	D	SD	SWV	SWV/N	х	<i>x-x</i> (D)	$(x-x) = (D^2)$
Fen	nale											•
1	Existing male culture is a great influence on my	296	170	71	7	42	6	1239				
	involvement in housing development								4.19		-0.18	0.034
2.	I believe my husband/ wife owns what belongs to	296	146	91	7	52	-	1218	4.12		-0.11	0.0121
	me											
3.	I believe my husband/ wife and my children can	296	162	103	24	7	-	1308	4.42		-0.41	0.1681
	inherit my property											
4	My extended family do not have share in the	296	108	119	26	34	9	1179	3.98		0.03	0.0009
_	ownership of my property	• • •		100		_	20	440=	4.04		0.00	0.000
5	I believe my extended family members will not	296	132	102	25	7	30	1187	4.01	4.04	0.00	0.000
_	take procession of this house after my demise	206	120	0.2	2.4	50		1104	4.00	4.01	0.01	0.0001
6.	My wife/ husband is fully aware of my income	296	128	92	24	52	-	1184	4.00		-0.01	0.0001
7.	I like planning and spending my income with	296	117	122	19	38	-	1206	4.07		-0.06	0.0036
0	my spouse	206	156	120		1.6	2	1200	4.20		0.20	0.1444
8.	I can allow my spouse to have a house of her	296	156	120	1	16	3	1298	4.39		-0.38	0.1444
0	own	200	00	106		1.4		1220	4.15		0.14	0.0106
9.	Conflict of interest in gender roles is a significant	296	90	186	-	14	6	1228	4.15		-0.14	0.0196
10	factor in housing development	206	116	107	1.5	2.5	2	1206	4.07		0.06	0.0026
10.	Having high financial backup enhances my level	296	116	127	15	35	3	1206	4.07		0.06	0.0036
1.1	of involvement in housing development	200	100	120	17	20	1.1	1176	2.07		0.02	0.0004
11.	My family size has great influence in my housing	296	100	138	17	30	11	1176	3.97		0.02	0.0004
10	finance and rate of development	206	124	116	10	2.5	2	1200	4.00		0.07	0.0040
12.	J	296	124	116	18	35	3	1208	4.08		-0.07	0.0049
13.	my housing development Training of my children has great influence in the	206	111	150	25	_	10	1240	4.19		-0.18	0.0324
13.	rate of my housing development	290	111	130	23	-	10	1240	4.17		-0.16	0.0324
14.	Increase in income has increased the speed of my	206	90	130	56	14	6	1166	3.94		0.07	0.0049
17.	housing development	290	90	130	30	17	U	1100	3.74		0.07	0.0049
15.	Religion is a significant factor for my	296	32	148	14	99	3	995	3.36		0.65	0.4225
15.	involvement in housing development	270	32	140	17		3	773	3.30		0.03	0.7223
16.	Family type has great influence in my	296	88	128	33	47	_	1145	3.87		0.14	0.0196
10.	involvement in housing development	270	00	120	33	47		1143	3.07		0.14	0.0170
17	I wish my spouse is involved in the decision of	296	90	112	42	42	10	1118	3.78		0.23	0.0529
1/.	my choice of housing development	270	70	112	12	12	10	1110	5.70		0.23	0.0527
18.	•	296	76	104	43	51	22	1049	3.54		0.47	0.2209
10.	both of us has helped in the house completion	270	70	101	15	<i>J</i> 1		1017	Э.Э т		0.17	0.2207
	Total								72.13			1.1449
	Communication Co								, 2.13			1,177/

Source: Field Survey, 2017

Table 3: Variable communalities

Communalities		
	Initial	Extraction
Existing male culture is a great influence on my involvement in housing development	1.000	.914
I believe my husband/wife owns what belongs to me.	1.000	.778
In believe that my wife and children can inherit my property	1.000	.733
My extended family members do not have a share in the ownership of my property	1.000	.914
I believe my family members will not take procession of this house after my demise.	1.000	.942
My wife/ husband is fully aware of my income	1.000	.825
I like planning/ spending my income together with my spouse.	1.000	.845
I can allow my spouse (wife) to have a house of her own.	1.000	.707
Conflict of interest in gender roles is a significant factor in housing development involvement	1.000	.723
Having high financial backup enhances my level of involvement in housing development.	1.000	.791
My family size has great influence in my housing finance and rate of development.	1.000	.772
The education of my children has influence in my housing development finance.	1.000	.925
Training of my children has great influence in the rate of your housing development	1.000	.892
Increase in income has increased the speed of my housing development completion	1.000	.838
Religion is a significant factor for my involvement in housing development	1.000	.607
Family type has great influence in my involvement in housing development	1.000	.889
I wish my spouse is involved in the decision of my choice of housing development	1.000	.754
Registration of building title as jointly owned by both of us has helped in the house completion	1.000	.701

Source: Field Survey, 2017

Table 4: Total variance explained

	Initial Eigen values			Extracti	on Sums of	Squared	Rotation Sums of Squared				
Component -	11	iitiai Eigeii va	ilues		Loadings		Loadings				
Component -	Total	% of	Cumulative	Total	% of	Cumulati	Total	% of	Cumulative		
	Total	Variance	%	Total	Variance	ve %	1 Otal	Variance	%		
1	8.233	45.741	45.741	8.233	45.741	45.741	4.693	26.072	26.072		
2	3.370	18.722	64.464	3.370	18.722	64.464	4.082	22.678	48.749		
3	1.596	8.864	73.328	1.596	8.864	73.328	3.845	21.362	70.111		
4	1.350	7.499	80.827	1.350	7.499	80.827	1.929	10.716	80.827		
5	.854	4.744	85.571								
6	.572	3.180	88.751								
7	.493	2.739	91.489								
8	.368	2.044	93.534								
9	.331	1.838	95.372								
10	.258	1.435	96.808								
11	.167	.930	97.738								
12	.129	.718	98.456								
13	.104	.576	99.032								
14	.059	.329	99.361								
15	.045	.252	99.613								
16	.042	.235	99.847								
17	.015	.086	99.933								
18	.012	.067	100.000								
17 18	.015 .012	.086 .067	99.933	.:_							

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis

Source: Author's Computation, 2017

Table 5: Component matrix

Component			
2	3	4	
		536	
	.563		
	522		
.685			
.678			
.652			
.627			
612 514	497		
		612	

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis a. 4 components extracted.

Source: Author's Computation, 2017

Table 6: T-test result of gender differences involvement in housing development process

			ne's To	est for ariance			t-test for Equa							
		F	F Sig. T		Df			Sig. Mean		Df Sig. Mean S (2-tailed) Difference I		Std. Error Difference	95% Confidenthe Diff	
								Difference	upper	lower				
Involvement in Housing	Equal variances assumed	0.039	.843	-2.757	1088	.006	-0.18717686	0.06789614	-0.32039905	-0.05395468				
Development	Equal variances not assumed			-1.929	554.224	.005	-0.18717686	0.06630351	-0.317417377	-0.05693996				

Source: Author's Computation, 2017