

Volatility persistence in Naira exchange rates returns: A pre- and post-global financial crisis

Yaya, O. S.

Econometric and Financial Time Series Unit, Department of Statistics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria Corresponding author: os.yaya@ui.edu.ng

Abstract

The global financial crisis of 2008 has led to upheavals in the structural pattern and volatility persistence of many macroeconomic variables, particularly exchange rates of the Naira against other currencies around the world. This paper therefore examined these financial time series properties, in high frequency Naira exchange rate series during pre-global and post-global crisis periods. Long range dependence techniques and volatility modelling approaches were applied on level series, absolute and squared log-returns of six daily Naira exchange rate series between 12 October, 2001 and 19 December, 2014. Significant persistence of volatility in both absolute and square returns of the exchange rates series was observed, and there was the difference in the level of persistence between the two time series sub-samples, that is, the precrisis period seemed to exhibit lower level of volatility than the post-global crisis period. Further investigation using estimates of volatility modelling confirmed lower volatility observed in Naira exchange rates during the post crisis period was as a result of the residual impacts of the global crisis on the economy that we experienced till the end of the sampled period.

Keywords: Exchange rates; fractional persistence; global financial crisis; heteroscedasticity; volatility.

Introduction

The 2008 global financial market crisis adversely affected the economies of the world, and as a result global equity market fell due to severe loss of liquidity in credit markets and the banking system [1]. Many large investments and financial institutions fell into bankruptcy, and this affected both the international oil pricing and exchange rates. Since then, financial time series analysts have been on the verge of studying the behaviour of financial time series. Previous work on Naira exchange rates by Yaya and Shittu [2] tested for nonlinearity in exchange rates, and concluded that the dynamics of market volatility is linear in the case of ten Naira exchange rates series in Nigeria. As a follow up, we rather consider studying exchange rate volatility using fractional integration approach on both the mean and variance series, and as well by using volatility modelling approach to validate the results.

Nigeria is one of the countries that adopted the floating exchange rate system in the early 1970s after the demise of the Bretton Woods System [3]. The agitation was as a result of fluctuations in exchange rates perceived under the floating system which could have adverse repercussions for investment and trade. As a result of this negative correlation between trade and exchange rate volatility, both imports and exports were significantly reduced since firms had to add risk premiums to the costs of traded goods leading to higher prices and lower trade volume [4]. Apart from trade, there is an expansive literature indicating that exchange rate volatility has a direct, deleterious effect on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Nigeria and South Africa

Journal of Science Research

Volume 15, 2016, pp. 49-58. © Journal of Science Research, 2016



[5-7]. Exchange rate volatility generates an air of uncertainty as the variance of expected profits rises and its net present value falls. This therefore causes investor to panic about committing significant resources to FDI.

The present recession in Nigeria is believed to have been caused by the spillover in the fall in the pricing of oil at the markets, which affected the foreign reserves. Due to the fact that oil is believed to have direct spillover impacts on exchange rates, there is the need to investigate the structural pattern and volatility persistence of Naira exchange rates [8].

The dynamic modelling of exchange rate volatility persistence in this paper follows the idea of Mikosch and Starica [9]. In the paper, the authors believe that the assumption of the standard Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (GARCH) model having constant parameters may not hold in practice unless the series to be modelled are sufficiently short. The series are divided into sub-series according to the location of the break points,¹ and separate volatility models are fitted to the subseries. In this regards, the problem is how to obtain the subseries. This may not pose much difficulty in a case where the financial series reacts to external forces at almost the same time, for example the influence of Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN)'s intervention and global financial crisis, which drastically increased and decreased the exchange rates, respectively. Another motivation for modelling volatility processes is related to the high persistence that is commonly observed in the squared or absolute returns. This persistence refers to the typical pattern for the Autocorrelation Function (ACF) of the squared/absolute returns that are positive and slowly decreasing. The main stylized feature of the GARCH models is their ability to capture volatility clustering, that is, large changes of log-returns tending to be followed by large changes, of either sign, or small changes tending to be followed by small changes of log-returns. This results in positive autocorrelation coefficients of absolute or squared returns, and possibly power transformations, typically with a relatively slowly decreasing autocorrelations.

This paper therefore investigates the volatility persistence and asymmetry in Naira exchange rates during pre-crisis and post-crisis periods. Both fractional dependence and Generalized Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroscedasticity (GARCH) modelling approaches are applied in achieving this aim. The level of volatility persistence is first investigated in the exchange rates series by estimating the fractional differencing parameter in exchange rates, absolute and squared log-returns time series of exchange rates. Then GARCH modelling approach is considered to study the persistence of volatility in the returns series, and asymmetry is examined using the Glosten Jaganathan and Runkle-GARCH (GJR-GARCH) models [10-12].

Theoretical framework

Long range dependence (LRD) and fractional integration

The idea of Long Range Dependency (LRD) was originated from the fractional Brownian motion studied by hydrologist, Hurst in 1951. The prominence was achieved in this line of research by other researchers working on time series econometrics about three decades ago, and since then, this methodology has been widely used in estimating and testing long range dependency in finance, economics and in many other disciplines. LRD has been observed in financial series and these dependencies are substantially larger than those allowed for stationary ARMA processes [13]. It was found that the absolute or squared values of returns on the S and P 500 index tend to have very slowly decaying autocorrelations. Similar evidence of LRD was documented in Mills [14], Perron and Qu [15], Yaya [16] and Gil-Alana et al [17].

GARCH modelling approach

A number of papers in the literature have stressed the applicability of GARCH modelling on exchange rates and other volatility time series. The extended GARCH model is the GJR-GARCH model proposed in Glosten et al [18]. This is an asymmetric GARCH variant capable of determining the leverage effect in the return series. Other GARCH models that allow for an asymmetric news impact effect are the Exponential GARCH (EGARCH) model [19] and the Asymmetric Power ARCH (APARCH) model of Ding et al [20]. The fractionally integrated versions of some of these models have also been developed. The positive asymmetric response of assets return volatility to past shocks is considered as a stylized fact, but there is no consensus that the findings of positive asymmetric parameter corresponds actually to the financial leverage effect.

Negative asymmetric parameter estimates are found for commodity returns series in Carpantier [21], and this is named inverse leverage effect. Engle [22] investigated returns series of gold prices, exchange rates and some other series and interprets the asymmetric parameter as a hedge effect. Beine *et al* [23] remarked that GARCH and Integrated GARCH (IGARCH) models tend to underestimate the effect of central bank interventions on the volatility of asset prices. On asymmetric volatility modelling, Engle and Ng [24] argued that the GJR-GARCH model is better than the EGARCH model of Nelson [19] since the conditional variance of EGARCH model is too high due to its exponential form. Huang [25] studied the high frequency data of European, American and Asian stocks and suggested that asymmetric volatility models such as GJR-GARCH could model the volatility of stock indices better than classical GARCH model. The GARCH model assumes that the volatility series follows a stationary symmetric volatility process with conditional variance series, hence this is specified in its model structure, and the measures of persistence of volatility determined from the parameter estimates. The GJR-GARCH model checks for the presence of asymmetry in the returns series based on the estimates of its parameters.

Data and methodology

Data sources

Secondary data are applied in this paper: These datasets are the six daily official Naira exchange rates with Central and West African Francs (Naira-CFA); with European Euro (Naira-EURO); with British Pound (Naira-GBP); with United States Dollars (Naira-USD); with International Monetary Fund West African Unit of Account (Naira-WAUA) and Japanese Yen (Naira-JPY). The data were sourced from Central Bank of Nigeria website (www.cenbank.org) and spanning between 12 October, 2001 and 19 December, 2014. An algorithm set up by Pagan and Soussounov [26] to determine market peaks and trough was applied to identify the peak point in the exchange rate series.² This date was identified as 6 June 2008 for most of the exchange rates. At this date, a US dollar was exchanged for 116.10 Naira.

Methodology

We approach this paper using the fractional integration technique. This technique has since been applied in studying the persistence of volatility in assets prices and returns series. The persistency in the transformed returns series is then confirmed using the volatility model-based approach.

The Fractional Integration Techniques

The fractionally integrated time series is defined with

backward shift operation as:

$$(1-B)^d \quad y_t = u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots$$

 $y_t = 0, \quad t \le 0, \quad \dots 1$

where B is the backward shift operator; y_t is the observed time series (level series, returns, absolute or squared returns), supposed to be fractionally differenced, d is the fractional differencing parameter, and u_t is the resulting covariance stationary I(0) process. The estimation of the fractionally differencing parameter is carried out using non-parametric, semiparametric and parametric approaches. The nonparametric approach is updated in Lo [27]. The semiparametric approach is the Local Whittle (LW) estimation of Robinson [28]. The parametric approach is the Exact Maximum Likelihood (EML) method in Ooms and Doornik [29-31]. All these methods are sensitive to estimating the differencing parameter within the long memory range, i.e. 0 < d < 0.5. The semi-parametric LW estimator estimates the differencing parameter in the non-stationary range 0.5 < d < 2. The estimation procedure is similar to Geweke and Porter-Hudak (GPH) log-periodogram regression approach given in Robinson [32], as implemented in most statistical software.

The non-parametric approach of Lo [27] is based on a rescaled range statistic (R/S) defined as:

$$R/S = \frac{1}{S_{N}(q)} \left(\sup_{\substack{\leq m \leq N \\ j=1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (y_j - \bar{y}) - \inf_{\substack{\leq m \leq N \\ j=1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (y_j - \bar{y}) \right)$$
....2

where S_N is the standard deviation defined in [27] as

$$S_{N}(q) = \left(S_{N}^{2} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{Q} W_{j}(q)\hat{\gamma}_{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and $w_j = 1 - j/(q+1)$ such that q < N and S_N is the standard deviation of the time series γ_j and the *j*th-order sample autocovariance by γ_j . The Hurst coefficient, H is then estimated by:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{\log(N)} \log(R/S). \qquad \dots 3$$

and the fractional differencing parameter, *d* is obtained as:

$$\hat{d} = \hat{H} - 0.5 \qquad \dots 4$$

The semi-parametric estimator of the LW estimator represents an approximation to the MLE in the frequency domain for large N. This estimator is defined as:

$$\hat{d} = \arg\min_{d} \left(\log \overline{C(d)} - 2d \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} \log \lambda_{s} \right) \dots 5$$
$$\overline{C(d)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} 1(\lambda_{s}) \lambda_{s}^{2d}, \quad \lambda_{s} = \frac{2\pi s}{N}, \quad \frac{m}{N} \to 0,$$

where *m* is a bandwidth number, and $I(\lambda_s)$ is the periodogram of the raw time series, y_t , given by:

$$I(\lambda_s) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \left| \sum_{t=1}^N y_t e^{i\lambda_s t} \right|^2, \qquad \dots 6$$

The estimator is consistent for $d \in (-0.5, 0.5)$ and this consistency depends on the bandwidth, m which

satisfies $\frac{1}{m} + \frac{m}{N} \to 0$ as the sample size $N \to \infty$.

Robinson [32] further showed that the estimator is consistent for $d \in (-0.5, 1)$ and asymptotic normal for $d \in (-0.5, 0.75)$.³ Another semi-parametric method is the GPH approach which assumes that the spectrum of a time series process takes the form:

$$f(\lambda) = |\lambda|^{-2d} g(\lambda), \text{ as } \lambda \to 0 \qquad \dots 7$$

where g(.) is an even function on the Nyqvist range $[-\pi, \pi]$ that determines the short run dynamics of the stationary process y_i and this satisfies $0 < g(0) < \infty$. Then, the GPH estimator is based on the least-square regression using spectral ordinates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_m$ from the periodogram of $I_y(\lambda_j)$ for with where *m* is the bandwidth which is less than the sample size *N*. Then, (7) is re-written as:

$$\log \left[I_{y}(\lambda_{j}) \right] = a + b \log(\lambda_{j}) + v_{j} \qquad \dots 8$$

where v_j is assumed to be i.i.d. Then, from the least square estimator \hat{b} , the fractional differencing operator is computed as:

$$\hat{d} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{b} \qquad \dots 9$$

which is asymptotically normal, and the theoretical standard error is computed as $\pi(24m)^{-1/2}$. Diebold and Inoue [38] showed that the choice of a large value for

m would result in reducing the standard error at the expense of biasness in the estimator, as the relationship that the GPH regression is based on holds only at low frequencies.

The parametric EML approach jointly estimates the fractional differencing parameter with the parameters in the Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average (ARFIMA(p, d, q)) model where p and q are the orders of AR and MA parts of the model, and d is the value of the fractional difference. Following Ooms and Doornik [29], y_t is assumed to follow the Gaussian process, then the resulting log-likelihood function is:

$$L(\theta) = -\frac{N}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log|\Omega| - \frac{1}{2}y'\Omega^{-1}y \dots 10$$

The Gaussian Maximum Likelihood estimates are then obtained by maximizing $L(\theta)$, and this requires the calculation of the determinant and the inverse of the variance-covariance matrix Ω which is carried out by the Cholesky decomposition method.⁴ The EML approach therefore estimates the differencing parameter in the range 0 < d < 0.5.

The Volatility Modelling Approaches

First differences of the log-transformed volatility time series (E_t) produces the return series, ε_t . The absolute returns, $|\varepsilon_t|$ and squared returns (ε_t^2) are then obtained. A common framework for modelling volatility of asset returns is based on the GARCH model. The basic idea of this model is that the shocks of an asset are serially uncorrelated but dependent and this can be described by a simple quadratic function of the lagged values. Using the returns/shocks,, then the GARCH(1,1) model assumes that the conditional volatility series σ_t^2 is modelled as:

$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \qquad \dots \dots 11$$

where a_1 and b_1 are non-negative parameters and a_0 is a strictly positive constant. The sum $a_1 + b_1 < 1$ for stationary GARCH(1,1) specification, and $a_1 + b_1 \ge 1$, the process realizes nonstationary conditional variances and in that case, it is termed IGARCH process. The conditional variance is expressed as a linear function of the squared past values of the series. This specification is able to capture and reproduce several important characteristics of financial time series [40]. These include a succession of turbulent periods; autocorrelation of the squares but absence of autocorrelation of returns, and leptokurticity of the marginal distributions. The unconditional variance (volatility) is then computed as:

$$\sigma^2 = a_0 / (1 - a_1 - b_1) \qquad \dots \qquad 12$$

The level of persistence is computed as:

$$a_1 + b_1, \qquad \dots 13$$

and the closer this estimate is to unity, the more persistent the volatility of the return series. The halflife of volatility, a measure of the average time it takes the persistence to reduce by one-half is obtained by:

$$\ln(0.5)/\ln(a_1+b_1)$$
 14

This implies that, the closer $a_1 + b_1$ is to unity, the larger the half-life of volatility. The first order auto-correlation coefficients of the returns is computed as:

$$\rho_1 = \left\{ a_1 \left(1 - b_1^2 - a_1 b_1 \right) \right\} / \left\{ 1 - b_1^2 - 2a_1 b_1 \right\} \dots \dots 15$$

and obviously, this value is usually larger than a_1 .

A widely used extension of the GARCH(1,1) model is the GJR-GARCH model. This is given as:

$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + c_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 I(\varepsilon_{t-1} < 0) \quad \dots \quad 16$$

with the additional parameter as the asymmetric parameter, and indicator function I(.). This model implies that at $c_1 = 0$, the conditional variance response to a past shock ε_{t-1} of given absolute value is the same whether the shock is positive or negative. The news impact curve which traces σ_t^2 as a function of ε_{t-1} for values of $a_0 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$ and a_1 is a parabola having its minimum at $\varepsilon_{t-1} = 0$. At this point, the realized volatility perfectly matched that of the GARCH model. If $c_1 > 0$, the response of conditional variance to past negative shock is stronger than the response to past positive shocks of the same magnitude, and the news impact curve is asymmetric. For many asset prices and indexes, a positive effect is often observed empirically. The symmetric effect is then computed as:

$$a_1 + b_1 \qquad \dots \dots 17$$

while the asymmetric effect is computed as:

$$a_1 + b_1 + c_1$$
 18

Results and discussion

We observe that the exchange rates picked up astronomically in all the series during the post-crisis period, and gained their stability back within one or two months, that is, in July and August, 2008. During this period, Naira-USD rose from 116.10 Naira to about 140 Naira, that is, with about 21% fall in the exchange rate. Other exchange rates also increased within the range 18% to 37%. We omitted the two months of sharp drop and recovery of the rates, since the inclusion of this might affect the results.⁵

53

Plots of the six Naira exchange rates are given in Figure 1. The breaks in the rates in 2008 are very conspicuous. Over the sampled years, Naira exchange rates have fractured the economy of the Nigeria, as Naira was depreciating at the international market. Naira-USD exchange rates displays lesser fluctuations compared to other naira exchange rates, therefore this seems to be less volatile:



Figure 1. Plots of Naira exchange rates.

Table 1 presents the results of fractional integration for the exchange rates. Having partitioned each series into two, we found the estimates of integration parameter to be dissimilar from the two estimation methods. This further indicates that the non-stationary persistence after the global financial crisis is higher.

As we know that, we cannot easily detect volatility in the exchange rates series, we carried out logdifferenced transformation to obtain exchange rates returns series, which acted as proxy for the volatility series. The descriptive statistics on the log-returns are presented in Table 2.

| Methods | | Semi- | Semi- | |
|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | parametric | parametric | |
| | | LW | log- | |
| | | | periodogram | |
| | Pre-crisis | 0.9373*** | 1.0073*** | |
| Naira-CFA | Post-crisis | 0.9630*** | 1.0176*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9430*** | 0.9949*** | |
| Naira- | Pre-crisis | 0.9448*** | 1.0190*** | |
| EURO | Post-crisis | 0.9620*** | 1.0227*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9364*** | 0.9882*** | |
| Naira-GBP | Pre-crisis | 0.9481*** | 1.0283*** | |
| | Post-crisis | 0.9556*** | 0.9961*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9475*** | 0.9975*** | |
| | Pre-crisis | 0.9378*** | 1.0085*** | |
| Naira-USD | Post-crisis | 0.9550*** | 0.9993*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9468*** | 1.0030*** | |
| Naira- | Pre-crisis | 0.9395*** | 1.0071*** | |
| WAUA | Post-crisis | 0.9546*** | 1.0066*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9452*** | 0.9983*** | |
| | Pre-crisis | 0.9312*** | 1.0016*** | |
| Naira-JPY | Post-crisis | 0.9639*** | 1.0102*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.9389*** | 0.9930*** | |

Table 1. Estimates of persistence for exchange rates series.

We observe average returns to be higher after the crisis in five exchange rates (Naira-CFA, Naira Euro, Naira-GBP, Naira-USD, and Naira-WAUA) and for Naira-JPY exchange rate, the returns are larger during pre-crisis period. Looking at the full sample series, the average returns for Naira-USD exchange rate (3.86E-05) is smaller than average returns for the remaining five exchange rates and that is why US dollar is used as a global currency.

Further analysis on the persistence of Naira exchange rates volatility is based on fractional persistence approach on log-returns, absolute and squared log-returns series and the results are presented in Tables 3 and 4, for non-parametric, semi-parametric and parametric estimation methods. Actually the estimates are given in the range for long range dependence (LRD) (0 < d < 0.5) implying significant dependency of the transformed log-returns of the exchange rates over the years. In Table 3, we observe the LRD estimates to be larger during post-crisis period, implying higher market volatility during this period.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics on log-returns of exchange rates.

| Exchange rates | Samples | Mean | Maximum | Minimum | Std. Dev. | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Naira-CFA | Pre-crisis | 9.33E-05 | 0.2055 | -0.0270 | 0.0064 | 20.0431 | 640.8220 |
| | Post-crisis | 1.05E-04 | 0.0367 | -0.0212 | 0.0035 | 1.3047 | 21.0840 |
| | Full sample | 1.36E-04 | 0.2055 | -0.0270 | 0.00 <mark>65</mark> | 19.2429 | 603.3226 |
| Naira-EURO | Pre-crisis | 1.02E-04 | 0.0228 | -0.0225 | 0.003 <mark>2</mark> | -0.2620 | 10.1761 |
| | Post-crisis | 8.83E-05 | 0.0377 | -0.0 <mark>37</mark> 5 | 0.0036 | 1.1351 | 31.0092 |
| | Full sample | 1.36E-04 | 0.0277 | -0.0225 | 0.0033 | 0.3911 | 13.7599 |
| Naira-GBP | Pre-crisis | 2.62E-05 | 0.0288 | -0.0242 | 0.0030 | -0.2115 | 15.5485 |
| | Post-crisis | 9.87E-05 | 0.0418 | -0.0220 | 0.0032 | 1.6972 | 29.4679 |
| | Full sample | 5.49E- <mark>05</mark> | 0.0288 | -0.0242 | 0.0032 | 0.3175 | 17.4779 |
| Naira-USD | Pre-crisis | 8.29E-05 | 0.0089 | -0.0170 | 0.0009 | -5.2102 | 144.6487 |
| | Post-crisis | 8.7 <mark>4E-0</mark> 5 | 0.0278 | -0.0196 | 0.0015 | 8.3047 | 193.4576 |
| | Full sample | 3.86E-05 | 0.0278 | -0.0170 | 0.0012 | 6.6346 | 230.8687 |
| Naira-WAUA | Pre-crisis | 5.22E-05 | 0.0301 | -0.0173 | 0.0022 | 2.8747 | 58.8514 |
| | Pos <mark>t-c</mark> risis | 8.41E-05 | 0.0325 | -0.0190 | 0.0022 | 4.9256 | 81.1627 |
| | Full sample | 7.38E-05 | 0.0304 | -0.0173 | 0.0023 | 3.7661 | 65.3908 |
| Naira-JPY | Pre-crisis | 7.70E-05 | 0.0309 | -0.0266 | 0.0035 | 0.6518 | 16.0328 |
| | Post-crisis | 4.10E-05 | 0.0286 | -0.0205 | 0.0033 | 0.8719 | 15.3808 |
| | Full sample | 1.18E-04 | 0.0309 | -0.0266 | 0.0036 | 0.9397 | 16.9593 |

Source: Author's computation. *** Indicates significant estimate of a at 5% level.

Similar results are obtained on Table 4 when the squared log-returns were used as proxy for volatility series, only that squared log-returns of Naira-GBP, Naira-USD and Naira-WAUA exchange rates indicated lower LRD value after the global crisis based on semi-parametric and parametric methods.

Using the model-based approach (Table 5), we obtained significant GARCH (1,1) estimates for the

| Methods | | Non-parametric | Semi-parametric | Parametric |
|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|
| Naira-CFA | Pre-crisis | 0.0877*** | 0.0424*** | 0.0423*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.2036*** | 0.1690*** | 0.1736*** |
| | Full sample | 0.1229*** | 0.0761*** | 0.0760*** |
| Naira-EURO | Pre-crisis | 0.1815*** | 0.1232*** | 0.1228*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.2140*** | 0.1891*** | 0.1928*** |
| | Full sample | 0.1864*** | 0.1613*** | 0.1611*** |
| Naira-GBP | Pre-crisis | 0.1350*** | 0.1679*** | 0.1674*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.2396*** | 0.1583*** | 0.1646*** |
| | Full sample | 0.2081*** | 0.1599*** | 0.1597*** |
| Naira-USD | Pre-crisis | 0.1585*** | 0.1650*** | 0.1646*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1856*** | 0.1617*** | 0.1630*** |
| | Full sample | 0.1385*** | 0.1561*** | 0.1559*** |
| Naira-WAUA | Pre-crisis | 0.1654*** | 0.1430*** | 0.1426*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1514*** | 0.1600*** | 0.1650*** |
| | Full sample | 0.1608*** | 0.1063*** | 0.1062*** |
| Naira-JPY | Pre-crisis | 0.0419*** | 0.0135*** | 0.0135*** |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1892*** | 0.1838*** | 0.1848*** |
| | Full sample | 0.1749*** | 0.1617*** | 0.1615*** |

Table 3. Persistence of volatility using absolute log-returns series.

*** Indicates significant estimate of *d* at 5% level.

Table 4. Persistence of volatility using squared log-returns series.

| Methods | | Non-parametric | Semi-parametric | Parametric | |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|--|
| Naira-CFA | Pre-crisis | -0.0006 | -0.0029 | -0.0029 | |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1394*** | 0.1883*** | 0.1938*** | |
| | Full sample | -0.0007 | -0.0005 | -0.0003 | |
| Naira- | Pre-crisis | 0.1335*** | 0.166 <mark>9*</mark> ** | 0.1662*** | |
| EURO | Post-crisis | 0. <mark>1132***</mark> | 0.2320*** | 0.2357*** | |
| | Full sample | 0. <mark>13</mark> 02*** | 0.2194*** | 0.2188*** | |
| Naira-GBP | Pre-crisis | 0.0945*** | 0.2432*** | 0.2419*** | |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1559*** | 0.1004*** | 0.1075*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.1509*** | 0.1308*** | 0.1306*** | |
| Naira-USD | Pre-crisis | 0. <mark>0</mark> 974*** | 0.2193*** | 0.2181*** | |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1410*** | 0.1747*** | 0.1771*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.0784*** | 0.0985*** | 0.0984*** | |
| Naira- | Pre-crisis | 0.0718*** | 0.1140*** | 0.1136*** | |
| WAUA | Post-crisis | 0.0934*** | 0.0965*** | 0.1029*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.0637*** | 0.1137*** | 0.1135*** | |
| Naira-JPY | Pre-crisis | 0.0891*** | 0.0374*** | 0.0373*** | |
| | Post-crisis | 0.1027*** | 0.1783*** | 0.1792*** | |
| | Full sample | 0.1142*** | 0.1946*** | 0.1942*** | |

*** Indicates significant estimate of *d* at 5% level.

| Table 5. The GARCH (1, | ,1) estimates ar | nd persistence | measures. |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------|

| Exchange rates | Samples | \hat{a}_0 | \hat{a}_1 | \hat{b}_1 | Persistence | Volatility, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ | Half-life (days) | Autocorr. $\hat{ ho}_1$ |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Naira-CFA | Pre-crisis | 2.63E-06 | 0.0992 | 0.5916 | 0.6908 | 9.00E+00 | 1.9 | 0.1101 |
| | Post-crisis | 1.72E-07 | 0.0328 | 0.9453 | 0.9781 | 7.85E-06 | 31.3 | 0.0557 |
| | Full sample | 2.28E-06 | 0.1156 | 0.6772 | 0.7928 | 1.10E-05 | 3.0 | 0.1391 |
| Naira-EURO | Pre-crisis | 8.27E-08 | 0.0245 | 0.9631 | 0.9876 | 6.67E-06 | 55.6 | 0.0474 |
| | Post-crisis | 8.91E-08 | 0.0620 | 0.9306 | 0.9926 | 1.20E-05 | 93.3 | 0.2544 |
| | Full sample | 7.82E-08 | 0.0463 | 0.9491 | 0.9954 | 1.70E-05 | 150.3 | 0.2260 |
| Naira-GBP | Pre-crisis | 5.26E-07 | 0.0755 | 0.8368 | 0.9123 | 6.00E-06 | 7.6 | 0.1030 |
| | Post-crisis | 4.98E-08 | 0.0350 | 0.9551 | 0.9901 | 5.03E-06 | 69.7 | 0.0909 |
| | Full sample | 1.07E-07 | 0.0562 | 0.9340 | 0.9902 | 1.09E-05 | 70.4 | 0.1864 |
| Naira-USD | Pre-crisis | 3.30E-17 | 0.1503 | 0.6001 | 0.7504 | 1.32E-16 | 2.4 | 0.1798 |
| | Post-crisis | 8.23E-17 | 0.2314 | 0.6811 | 0.9125 | 9.41E-16 | 7.6 | 0.3965 |
| | Full sample | 1.86E-09 | 0.1522 | 0.6025 | 0.7547 | 7.58E-09 | 2.5 | 0.1830 |
| Naira-WAUA | Pre-crisis | 1.59E-07 | 0.0071 | 0.8638 | 0.8709 | 1.23E-06 | 5.0 | 0.0073 |
| | Post-crisis | 2.73E-08 | 0.0577 | 0.9349 | 0.9926 | 3.69E-06 | 93.3 | 0.2299 |
| | Full sample | 3.40E-08 | 0.0518 | 0.9474 | 0.9992 | 4.25E-05 | 866.1 | 0.6454 |
| Naira-JPY | Pre-crisis | 1.52E-06 | 0.1236 | 0.6999 | 0.8235 | 8.61E-06 | 3.6 | 0.1553 |
| | Post-crisis | 1.10E-07 | 0.0415 | 0.9473 | 0.9888 | 9.82E-06 | 61.5 | 0.1095 |
| | Full sample | 1.27E-06 | 0.1693 | 0.7272 | 0.8965 | 1.23E-05 | 6.3 | 0.2620 |

exchange rates models, these were computed based on Student-*t* distributional assumption. The ARCH parameter estimates were computed within the interval (0.02, 0.25) while the GARCH parameters were computed within (0.75, 0.98), this, implying reliable estimates according to the literature [13, 41-43]. This condition is in exception to the Naira-USD exchange rates whose GARCH parameter is as low as 0.60. We observe the persistence before the global crisis to be lower than persistence in the post-crisis period implying the riskier financial marketing after the crisis. Using the unconditional volatility measures for the realized conditional series σ_t^2 , there is a little contrary result. In Naira-CFA and Naira-GBP exchange rates, the conditional volatilities realized are highly persistent after the global crisis. The half-life of volatility actually confirms longer period of time for the persistence in the returns after the crisis.

Looking at the possible asymmetry in each of the episodes of exchange rates using GJR-GARCH estimates in Table 6, the leverage effects, as depicted by the estimates of asymmetric parameters in all the

| Exchange rates | Samples | \hat{a}_{0} | \hat{a}_{1} | $\hat{b_1}$ | \hat{c}_1 | Symmetric effect | Asymmetric effect |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Naira-CFA | Pre- Crisis | 1.34E-04 | 0.0714 | 0 <mark>.9</mark> 217 | 0.1529 | 0.9931 | 1.1460 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 2.09E-05 | 0.0334 | 0 <mark>.96</mark> 00 | 0.1060 | 0.9934 | 1.0994 |
| | Full sample | 2.23E-06 | 0.1294 | 0.6 704 | -0.0257 | 0.7998 | 0.7741 |
| Naira-EURO | Pre- Crisis | 2.47E-04 | 0.0366 | 0.9646 | 0.0284 | 1.0012 | 1.0296 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 4.90E-07 | 0.0648 | 0.9352 | 0.1141 | 1.0000 | 1.1141 |
| | Full sample | 7.69E-08 | 0.0442 | 0.9493 | 0.0038 | 0.9935 | 0.9973 |
| Naira-GBP | Pre- Crisis | 6.67E-06 | 0.0839 | 0.8552 | 0.0986 | 0.9391 | 1.0377 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 1.56E-04 | 0.0234 | 0.9817 | 0.9999 | 1.0051 | 2.0050 |
| | Full sample | 1.07E-07 | 0.0577 | 0.9336 | -0.0023 | 0.9913 | 0.9890 |
| Naira-USD | Pre- Crisis | 1.13E-16 | 0.2009 | 0.6888 | 0.0555 | 0.8897 | 0.9452 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 5.22E-17 | 0.2378 | 0.7020 | 0.0405 | 0.9398 | 0.9803 |
| | Full sample | 4.58E-16 | 0.2138 | 0.6932 | 0.0425 | 0.9070 | 0.9495 |
| Naira-WAUA | Pre- Crisis | 2.36E-07 | 0.0217 | 0.8304 | 0.0332 | 0.8521 | 0.8853 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 7.57E-08 | 0.0518 | 0.9333 | -0.2817 | 0.9851 | 0.7034 |
| | Full sample | 4.17E-08 | 0.0777 | 0.9401 | -0.0410 | 1.0178 | 0.9768 |
| Naira-JPY | Pre- Crisis | 1.02E-04 | 0.0758 | 0.872 | -0.6328 | 0.9478 | 0.3150 |
| | Post-crisis Crisis | 1.48E-05 | 0.0680 | 0.9302 | -0.2136 | 0.9982 | 0.7846 |
| | Full sample | 1.20E-06 | 0.1990 | 0.7404 | -0.0756 | 0.9394 | 0.8638 |

Table 6. The GJR-GARCH (1,1) estimates.

Note, significant model estimates at 5% level are in bold.

models for bank shares returns are significant in at least a phase of the financial crisis. In Naira-USD, Naira-WAUA and Naira-JPY exchange rates, leverage effect is present in the series in pre-crisis and postcrisis periods. In pre-crisis period, Naira-CFA exchange rates indicated asymmetric returns, while Naira-EURO and Naira-USD exchange rates indicated asymmetric return in the returns series in the post-crisis period. These three exchange rates generally, do not indicate evidence of significant leverage effect in their full series.

Conclusion and recommendations

This paper investigated the volatility persistence in Naira exchange rates during pre-global and post-global crisis periods by using six major Naira exchange rates, sampled between 12 October, 2001 and 19 December, 2014. These are the Naira-CFA, Naira-EURO, Naira-GBP, Naira-USD, Naira-WAUA and Naira-JPY exchange rates. Having identified the two sub-samples based on an algorithm by Pagan and Soussounov [26], the results showed dramatic differences in the level of volatility persistence in the time series sub-samples. Long range dependence approach on the exchange rates and the returns indicated lesser persistence of volatility before the global crisis in the six exchange rates. For instance, the Naira-USD exchange rates presented the least volatility in the overall series. This stability between Naira and US dollar has often recognized US dollars as a general foreign currency in Nigeria. Furthermore, applying the GARCH and GJR-GARCH estimates on the returns of the exchange rates, we obtained higher persistence of volatility in the post-crisis period. The volatility shocks of the exchange rates after the crisis lasted for longer periods as indicated by the estimates of half-life of volatility.

The higher volatility in exchange rates in the post crisis period was due to many factors. One, the residual impact of the financial crisis on the economy was felt during the post-crisis period as indicated in the very high half-life estimates. Two, interest rates differentials for banks by central banks between 2008 and 2009 was responsible for the sharp transition of exchange rates in 2008, until it stabilized, since money had to be injected into the economy by assisting banks with loans with low interest rates that helped them in cushion the global effect quickly. Due to the fact that volatility was higher during post 2008 global crisis, the monetary agency (Central Bank of Nigeria) therefore needs to put down measures to curb high exchange rate fluctuations.

References

- [1] Mita, L., Mita, G. and Dibartolomeo, D. 2009. Equity portfolio risk estimation using market information and sentiment. *Quantitative Finance*, *98*, 887-895.
- [2] Yaya, O.S. and Shittu, O.I. 2014. Naira exchange rate volatility, linear or nonlinear GARCH Specifications? *Journal of the Nigerian Statistical Associations*, 26, 78-87.
- [3] Ajibola, I. O., Udoette, U. S., Omotosho, B. S. and Muhammad, R. A. 2015. Non-linear adjustments between exchange rates and external reserves in Nigeria: A threshold cointegration analysis. *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics, Vol. 6(1a)*, 111-132.
- [4] Akpokodje, G. 2009. Exchange rate volatility and external trade, the experience of selected African countries. In: Applied Econometrics and Macroeconometric Modelling in Nigeria, Edited by Adenikinju, A., Busari, D. and Olofin, S. Ibadan University Press.
- [5] Benassy-Quere, A., Fontagne, L. and Lahreche-Revil, A. 2001. Exchange rate strategies in the competition for attracting foreign direct investment. *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, 15(2), 178-198.
- [6]. Kiyota, K. and Urata, S. 2004. Exchange rate, exchange rate volatility and roreign direct investment. *The World Economy*, 1501-1536.
- [7] Ruiz, I.C. 2005. Exchange rate as a determinant of foreign direct investment, does it really matter? Theoretical aspects, literature review and applied proposal. *Ecos de Economia.*, 153-171.
- [8] Salisu, A. A. and Mobolaji, H. 2013. Modelling returns and volatility transmission between oil price and US-Nigeria exchange rate. *Energy Economics, 39,* 169-176.
- [9] Mikosch, T. and Starica, C. 2004. Non-stationarities in financial time series, the long range dependence, and the IGARCH effects. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, *86*, 378-390.
- [10] Andreou, E. and Ghysels, E. 2009. Structural breaks in financial time series. In T.G. Andersen, R.A. Davis, J.-P. Kreiss and T. Mikosch Eds. *Handbook of Financial Time Series*, Berlin, Springer, pp. 839-870.
- [11] Greene, W.H. 2011. Econometric Analysis. 7th Ed. New Jersey, Prentice Hall.
- [12] Goffe, W. L., Ferrier, G. D. and Rogers, J. 1994. Global optimization of statistical functions with simulated annealing. *Journal of Econometrics*, 601/2, 65-99.
- [13] Xekalaki, E. and Degiannakis, S. 2010. *ARCH Models* for Financial Applications. John Wiley & Sons.
- [14] Mills, T.C. 1996. Non-linear forecasting of financial time series: An overview and some new models. *Journal of Forecasting*, 15, 127-135.
- [15] Perron, P. and Qu, Z. 2010. Long-memory and level shifts in the volatility of stock market return indices. *Journal* of Business, Economics and Statistics, 28, 275-290.
- [16] Yaya, O. S. 2013. On the variants of nonlinear models. Ph.D thesis. Department of Statistics, University of Ibadan.
- [17] Gil-Alana, L. A., Shittu, O. I. and Yaya, O. S. 2014. On the persistence and volatility in European, American

and Asian stocks bull and bear markets. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 40, 149-162.

- [18] Glosten, L., Jagannathan, R. and Runkle, D. 1993. On the relation between the expected value and the volatility of the nominal excess return on stocks. *Journal of Econometrics*, 48, 1779-1801.
- [19] Nelson, D. B. 1991. Conditional heteroscedasticity in asset returns: A New approach. *Econometrica*, 592, 347-70.
- [20] Ding, Z., Granger, C.W.J. and Engle, R. F. 1993. A long memory property of stock market returns and a new model. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, *1*, 83-106.
- [21] Carpantier, J.-F. 2010. *Commodities inventory effect*. CORE DP 2010/40.
- [22] Engle, R. F. 2011. Long term skewness and systemic risk. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 9, 437-468.
- [23] Beine, M., Benassy-Quere, A. and Lecourt, C. 2002. Central bank intervention and foreign exchange rates: New evidence from FIGARCH estimations. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 21, 115-144.
- [24] Engle, R. F. and Ng, V. 1993. Time-varying volatility and the dynamic behaviour of the term structure. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 25,* 336-349.
- [25] Huang, K. 2011. Modelling volatility of S&P 500 index daily returns: A comparison between model based forecasts and implied volatility. Ph.D thesis. Department of Finance and Statistics, Hanken School of Economics, Vasa.
- [26] Pagan, A. and Sossounov, K. A. 2003. A simple framework for analysing bull and bear markets. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, *18*, 23-46.
- [27] Lo, A. W. 1991. Long term memory in Stock market prices. *Econometrica*, 59, 1279-1313.
- [28] Robinson, P.M. 1995a. Gaussian semi-parametric estimation of long range dependence. *Annals of Statistics*, 23, 1630-1661.
- [29]. Ooms, M. and Doornik, J. A. 1999. Inference and forecasting for fractional autoregressive integrated moving average models, with application to US and UK inflation. *Econometric Institute* Report 9947/A, pp. 1-34.
- [30] Ooms, M. and Doornik, J. 2004. Inference and forecasting for fractional autoregressive moving average models, with application to US and UK inflation. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*, 82, 1-25.
- [31] Ooms, M. and Doornik, J. A. 2006. A package for estimating, forecasting and simulating ARFIMA models, ARFIMA Package 1.04 for Ox. Nuffield College, Oxford, pp. 1-30.
- [32] Robinson, P. M. 1995b. Log-periodogram regression of time series with long range dependence. *Annals of Statistics*, 23, 1048-1072.

- [33] Robinson, P. M. and M. Henry 1999. Long and short memory conditional heteroskedasticity in estimating the memory in levels. *Econometric Theory*, *15*, 299-336.
- [34] Velasco, C. and Robinson, P.M. 2000. Whittle pseudo maximum likelihood estimation for non-stationary time series. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *95*, 1229-1243.
- [35] Phillips, P. C. B. and Shimotsu, K. 2004. Local whittle estimation in non-stationary and unit root cases. *Annals of Statistics*, *32*, 656-692.
- [36] Shimotsu, K. and Phillips, P. C. B. 2005. Exact local whittle estimation of fractional integration. *Annals of Statistics*, 33, 1890-1933.
- [37] Abadir, K. M., Distaso, W. and Giraitis, L. 2007. Nonstationarity extended local whittle estimation. *Journal* of Econometrics, 141, 1353-1384.
- [38] Diebold, F. X. and Inoue, A. 2001. Long memory and regime switching. *Journal of Econometrics*, 105, 131-159.
- [39] Doornik, J. A. and Hendry, D. F. 2001. *Econometric Modelling Using PcGive, Volume III*, Timberlake Consultants Press, London.
- [40] Francq, C. and Zakoian, J.-M. 2010. *GARCH Models*, *Structure*, *Statistical Inference and Financial Applications*. John Wiley and Sons.
- [41] Giraitis, L. R. Leipus, and D. Surgailis 2006. Recent advances in ARCH modelling. In: A. Kirman, and G. Teyssiere Eds. *Long Memory in Economics*, Springer, pp. 3-38.
- [42] Tsay, R. 2010. *Analysis of Financial Time Series, 3rd Edition*. New York, John Wiley and Sons.
- [43]. Bauwens, L., Hafner, C. and Laurent, S. 2012. Volatility models. In: Bauwen, L., Hafner, C. and Laurent, S. Eds., *Handbook of Volatility models and their Applications*, pp. 1-45.

Footnotes

- ^{1.} For a review on structural breaks in time series, Andreou and Ghysels [10] and Green [11].
- 2. See Pagan and Soussounov [26] for detail on how to apply the algorithm to identify bull and bear market phases in financial markets.
- ^{3.} Further refinements of the estimation approach are given in Robinson and Hendry [33], Velasco and Robinson [34], Phillips and Shimotsu [35], Shimotsu and Phillips [36] and Abadir et al. [37].
- ⁴ See Doornik and Hendry [39] for ML estimation approach for ARFIMA(0,d,0) process implemented in ARFIMA package in Ox and GiveWin software.
- ^{5.} The analysis of fractional persistence require log time series sample, therefore it will not make sense including time series analysis of this small sample since this could lead to misleading results.

Journal of Science Research Volume 15, 2016, ISSN 1119 7333 **Citation:** Yaya, O. S. Volatility persistence in Naira exchange rates returns: A pre- and post-global financial crisis.

